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Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in men with stable coronary heart disease in France and Spain
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Summary
Background. — Cigarette smoking, raised blood pressure, unfavourable lipid concentrations, diabetes and — more indirectly — obesity, are responsible for most coronary heart disease events in developed and developing countries.

Aims. — The objective of our study was to compare prevalence, treatment and control of cardiovascular risk factors in two samples of men with stable coronary heart disease, recruited in France and Spain.
Methods. — Standardized measurements of body mass index, systolic and diastolic blood pressures, plasma lipids, glycaemia, and smoking were collected and drug use was registered. Cross-sectional comparisons were made between French and Spanish samples.

Results. — Data from 982 individuals were analysed (420 French and 562 Spanish men). Current smoking was more frequent in Spain \((p < 0.001)\), whereas hypertension and uncontrolled blood pressure were more frequent in France \((p < 0.001)\). Mean concentrations of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglycerides were significantly higher in France \((p < 0.001)\). No significant differences were observed regarding obesity, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and diabetes.

More than 97% of participants presented with at least one of the following conditions: hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes, obesity or smoking. Antiplatelet agents, calcium inhibitors, diuretics and hypoglycaemic drugs were used more frequently in France, whereas angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and lipid-lowering treatments were used more frequently in Spain.

Conclusion. — Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors is high among French and Spanish patients with stable coronary heart disease, with differences between countries regarding the distribution of the various risk factors. A great proportion of patients do not reach the recommended levels for risk factor control.

© 2009 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.
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Résumé
Contexte. — Le tabagisme, l'hypertension artérielle, les dyslipidémies, le diabète et plus indirectement, l'obésité sont à l'origine de la plupart des événements coronariens.

Objectifs. — Le but de ce travail était de comparer la prévalence, les traitements et le contrôle des facteurs de risque cardiovasculaire, dans une population d'hommes coronariens, recrutés en France et en Espagne.

Méthodes. — Des mesures standardisées de l'indice de masse corporelle, de la pression sanguine artérielle, des lipides plasmatiques, de la glycémie et du tabagisme ont été réalisées et les traitements médicamenteux ont été recueillis.

Résultats. — Un groupe de 982 patients de sexe masculin a été constitué (420 français et 562 espagnols). Le tabagisme actif était plus fréquent en Espagne \((p < 0.001)\), l'hypertension et le non-contrôle des chiffres de pression sanguine artérielle se rencontraient plus fréquemment en France \((p < 0.001)\). Les niveaux plasmatiques moyens de cholestérol-LDL et de triglycérides étaient plus élevés en France \((p < 0.001)\). Aucune différence significative n'a été mise en évidence sur l'obésité, le cholestérol-HDL ou le diabète. Plus de 97% des patients présentaient une hypertension, une dyslipidémie, un diabète, une obésité ou un tabagisme. Les médicaments antiagrégants plaquettaires, inhibiteurs calciques, diurétiques et hypoglycémiants étaient plus fréquemment utilisés en France. Les inhibiteurs de l'enzyme de conversion de l'angiotensine et les hypolipémiants étaient plus fréquents en Espagne.

Conclusion. — La prévalence des facteurs de risque cardiovasculaire est élevée parmi les patients coronariens, en France comme en Espagne, mais la répartition des facteurs de risque est différente selon le pays. Une proportion importante de patients est insuffisamment contrôlée.

© 2009 Elsevier Masson SAS. Tous droits réservés.

Abbreviations

ACE angiotensin-converting enzyme
ARA II angiotensin II receptor antagonist
BMI body mass index
CHD coronary heart disease
HDL high-density lipoprotein
LDL low-density lipoprotein

Background
Understanding the crucial role of cardiovascular risk factors has established new paradigms in the epidemiological approach to CHD. In the past decades, many potential new precursors of CHD have been identified, such as thrombotic, inflammatory or genetic factors, infectious agents, early life exposures, oestrogen deficiency and psychosocial factors [1]. However, cigarette smoking and traditional risk factors (raised blood pressure, unfavourable lipid concentrations, diabetes and obesity [which is linked more indirectly to cardiovascular risk]), partially promoted by inappropriate diet and physical inactivity, are the most prevalent cardiovascular risk factors in both developed and developing areas of the world, and also have the highest impact on CHD incidence [2–5].

The literature has often reported that major cardiovascular risk factors can only explain half of the burden of CHD incidence, based on the observation that many individuals with significant levels of cardiovascular risk factors never
experience CHD events and, conversely, that some individu-
als with CHD lack any of the major cardiovascular risk factors [6–8]. However, this hypothesis is no longer supported by
epidemiological studies, which show that only 15–20% of
stable CHD patients lack all of the major cardiovascular
risk factors [9,10]. These major modifiable risk factors are
largely uncontrolled [11–14].

Populations in Southern Europe, where the incidence and
mortality from CHD is low, have shown a prevalence of tra-
ditional cardiovascular risk factors close to the prevalence
observed in countries characterized by much higher CHD
incidence and mortality [15,16]. France and Spain are two
adjacent Southern European countries that share high life
expectancy [17] despite different lifestyle habits, dietary
patterns and healthcare systems. Treatments and hospital-
izations for acute coronary syndromes are funded entirely by
the national healthcare system in each country. However,
while expenditures for secondary prevention treatments
and complementary tests are covered totally by the French
system, with no prepayment required from patients, the
Spanish healthcare system pays for secondary prevention
drugs only in patients older than 65 years, whereas younger
patients are partially reimbursed.

Data on cardiovascular risk factors at discharge after
acute myocardial infarction have already been reported in
France and Spain [14,18,19], but prevalence and long-term
management of cardiovascular risk factors in individuals
with stable CHD have not been studied in detail, especially
with regard to lipid disorders. The aim of the present study
was to compare prevalence, treatment and control of car-
diovascular risk factors in two samples of men with stable
CHD recruited in France and Spain.

Methods

Population setting

This analysis was designed to compare the prevalence of
cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with stable CHD
living in two regions of South-West Europe: France and
Spain. In France, participants were recruited as part of the
Génétique et Environnement en Europe du Sud (GENES)
study, a case-control study designed to assess the role of
gene–environment interactions in the occurrence of CHD.
Participants were men living in the Toulouse area (Haute-
Garonne, South-West France, bordering on Spain), a region
of 1.1 million inhabitants (540,000 men). Participants were
included from 2001 to 2004. For the present analysis,
only cases with a history of acute myocardial infarction
were taken into account. Eligible participants were French
male CHD patients, aged 45–74 years, living in the area
of Toulouse and hospitalized in the Toulouse University
Hospital for follow-up of stable CHD. Prior acute myocard-
ial infarction had to be documented in the medical file
of those patients admitted to the only referral hospital in the
area. In order to be eligible, subjects have to be clinically
diagnosed with acute myocardial infarction. Once identi-
fied, patients are classified according to the MONtoring
trends and determinants in Cardiovascular diseases (MON-
ICA) project algorithm, which takes into account type of
symptoms, electrocardiogram findings and enzymes [20].
Selected patients were part of the definite non-fatal acute
myocardial infarction group, defined as: definite electro-
cardiogram; or typical, atypical or inadequately described
symptoms, together with probable electrocardiogram and
abnormal enzymes; or typical symptoms and abnormal
enzymes with ischaemic or non-codeable electrocardiogram
or electrocardiogram not available [20,21]. The inclusion
period lasted between 1995 and 2004, although 75% of
patients were recruited after 2001. A 6-month follow-up was
done to measure blood lipid concentrations in patients with
stable status.

In summary, men aged 45–74 years, with stable CHD,
who reported a history of acute myocardial infarction were
selected in both studies for the purpose of our analysis. The
sample size (420 and 562 individuals in France and Spain,
respectively), allowed us to detect differences between
centres in the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors of
greater than 10 percentage-points, with a statistical power
of at least 87.5%. Authorization from the local ethics com-
mittees was obtained in accordance with the French and
Spanish laws and the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants
were informed about the aim of the study and informed
consent was signed by each subject.

Questionnaires

Age and socioeconomic variables were collected through
standardized interviews. Smoking status was classified
as smokers (current smokers or smokers who had
quit for <1 year), former smokers (those who had quit
for >1 year) and non-smokers. All medications taken were
also recorded. Antiplatelet agents, beta-blockers, nitrates,
calcium inhibitors, ACE inhibitors, diuretics, ARA II and
lipid-lowering treatments were taken into account for the
purposes of the study. In the REGICOR project, information
on the last two drugs was only available from 2001.

Clinical measurements

Examinations were performed by a team of trained nurses,
physicians and interviewers, who used equivalent standard
questionnaires and measurement methods in both surveys
[20]. Anthropometrical measurements, including height
and body weight were taken according to standardized proce-
dures. BMI was determined as weight divided by height
squared (kg/m²). Participants were classified into three
groups according to BMI: normal weight, BMI < 25 kg/m²;
overweight, BMI ≥ 25 and < 30; obese, BMI ≥ 30. Blood pressure was measured with a periodically calibrated mercury sphygmomanometer in Spain and an automatic sphygmomanometer (OMRON 705 CP) in France. A cuff adapted to the upper arm perimeter was selected for each participant. Measurements were performed after at least a 5-minute rest. Two measurements were taken and the lower value was recorded for the analysis. The cut-off points to define hypertension followed the criteria proposed in the Second Joint Task Force of European and Other Societies on Coronary Heart Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice [22] and in the 2000 recommendations from the French Health Product Safety Agency [23]. These guidelines were chosen as they were in current use in Spain and France when the studies were carried out. The guidelines recommended identical goals and methods for cardiovascular prevention, except regarding the target goal for cholesterol, which was lower in the European guidelines than in the French guidelines, as described in the next paragraph. The following definitions were used for hypertension and its treatment and control: history of hypertension (when participants reported a previous diagnosis or treatment for hypertension); real hypertension (history of hypertension or systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 90 mmHg); treated hypertension (patients with a history of hypertension on drug treatment); controlled hypertension (systolic blood pressure < 140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure < 90 mmHg among treated patients). Reference values were systolic blood pressure < 130 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure < 80 mmHg for diabetic patients.

Blood sample collection and biological analyses

In both centres, blood was withdrawn after a 10—14-hour fast, with less than 60 seconds duration, at least 2 months after acute myocardial infarction (6 months in Spain). Serum sample aliquots were stored at −80 °C. Briefly, total cholesterol, glucose and triglyceride concentrations were determined enzymatically. HDL cholesterol was measured as cholesterol after precipitation of apoprotein B-containing lipoproteins with phosphotungstic-Mg++. LDL cholesterol was calculated in both centres by the Friedewald equation when triglycerides were less than 3.4 mmol/L [24] (389 and 544 individuals in France and Spain, respectively). Biological measurements were performed in a core laboratory in Barcelona for Spanish participants [25] and in a core laboratory in the Toulouse University Hospital for French participants [26]. The cut-off points to define dyslipidaemia followed the criteria used in the Second Joint Task Force of European and Other Societies on Coronary Heart Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (LDL cholesterol ≥ 3.0 mmol/L or HDL cholesterol < 1.0 mmol/L) in Spain [22], and the 2000 recommendations from the French Health Product Safety Agency (LDL cholesterol > 3.4 mmol/L or HDL cholesterol ≤ 1.0 mmol/L) in France [23].

Glucose metabolism disturbances were classified as follows: history of diabetes (participants already diagnosed by a physician); impaired fasting glycaemia (fasting glycaemia ranging from 6.1—6.9 mmol/L in participants not previously diagnosed with diabetes); real diabetes (participants with a history of diabetes or with fasting glycaemia ≥ 7 mmol/L); treated diabetes (patients with a history of diabetes under drug treatment).

Statistical analyses

Initially, the analyses were performed on the whole sample, then age-stratified analyses were done (45—59 years; 60—74 years). Continuous variables are summarized as means and standard deviations, and categorical variables are presented as proportions. Student’s t test was used to compare means of continuous variables. A logarithmic transformation was done to compute the p-value for variables whose distribution departed from normal (i.e., glycaemia and triglycerides). The χ²-test was used to compare proportions. Statistical analysis was done with STATA software, version 9.2 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

Results

We included 982 individuals aged 45—74 years (420 French and 562 Spanish participants). The mean age was 60 years. The proportion of smokers was higher in Spanish participants whereas French subjects had a higher proportion of former smokers (Table 1). The prevalence of obesity did not differ significantly between France and Spain (Table 1). Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were significantly higher in French participants in all age strata, and real hypertension occurred more frequently. In addition, among French subjects treated for hypertension there was significantly lower percentage of people with blood pressure below recommended levels (Table 1).

Average concentrations of total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglycerides were significantly higher in French participants and the proportion of subjects with LDL cholesterol > 3.4 mmol/L was greater in France (Table 2). However, when dyslipidaemia was defined according to the guidelines used in both countries (i.e., LDL cholesterol ≥ 3.4 mmol/L in France, ≥ 3 mmol/L in Spain, or HDL cholesterol ≤ 1 mmol/L) the percentages of dyslipidaemic subjects were not significantly different between France and Spain. No significant difference was observed in HDL cholesterol concentrations. The percentage of participants with diagnosed diabetes did not differ significantly between the two countries, but diabetic participants were treated significantly more frequently in France (Table 2).

French participants were treated more frequently with antiplatelet drugs, calcium inhibitors (especially younger subjects) and diuretics. The percentages of participants receiving lipid-lowering treatment or antihypertensive drugs acting on the renin-angiotensin system (ACE-inhibitors or ARA II) were significantly higher in Spain than in France (Table 3).

Fig. 1 shows the distribution of the number of major cardiovascular risk factors per individual, among French and Spanish participants. Real hypertension, dyslipidaemia (LDL cholesterol ≥ 3.0 mmol/L [Panel A] or ≥ 3.4 mmol/L [Panel B] or HDL ≤ 1 mmol/L), real diabetes, smoking and obesity were considered. Only 2.6% of the French and 2.8% of the Spanish participants (Panel A) lacked all major cardiovascular risk factors (< 5% according to Panel B).
Table 1 Prevalence of obesity, smoking and hypertension in France and Spain, by age.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>45–59 years</th>
<th></th>
<th>60–74 years</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>France (n = 222)</td>
<td>Spain (n = 272)</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>France (n = 198)</td>
<td>Spain (n = 290)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight and obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &lt; 25 kg/m²</td>
<td>27.2 ± 4.1</td>
<td>27.4 ± 4.0</td>
<td>0.718</td>
<td>27.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>27.3 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²</td>
<td>55 (24.8)</td>
<td>50 (21.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>34 (17.2)</td>
<td>59 (24.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smokers</td>
<td>48 (21.6)</td>
<td>36 (13.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>46 (23.2)</td>
<td>67 (23.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>78 (35.1)</td>
<td>199 (73.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 (12.1)</td>
<td>107 (37.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former smokers</td>
<td>96 (43.2)</td>
<td>35 (13.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>128 (64.7)</td>
<td>114 (39.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>129 ± 20</td>
<td>112 ± 16</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>139 ± 22</td>
<td>115 ± 19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>82 ± 11</td>
<td>66 ± 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>82 ± 10</td>
<td>66 ± 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension</td>
<td>82 (36.9)</td>
<td>107 (41.0)</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>85 (42.9)</td>
<td>162 (57.5)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real hypertension</td>
<td>133 (59.9)</td>
<td>114 (42.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>147 (74.2)</td>
<td>172 (59.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated hypertension</td>
<td>78 (95.1)</td>
<td>102 (98.1)</td>
<td>0.257</td>
<td>84 (100.0)</td>
<td>158 (97.5)</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled hypertension</td>
<td>38 (48.7)</td>
<td>70 (68.6)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>30 (35.7)</td>
<td>118 (74.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are given as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

- **History of hypertension** or SBP ≥ 140 mmHg or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg (≥ 130/80 mmHg in diabetic patients).
- **Patients with a history of hypertension on drug treatment.**
- **SBP < 140 mmHg and DBP < 90 mmHg (< 130/80 in diabetic patients) among treated patients.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>45–59 years</th>
<th>60–74 years</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>France (n = 222)</td>
<td>Spain (n = 272)</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>4.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol ≥ 4.9 mmol/L</td>
<td>131 (59.0)</td>
<td>123 (45.4)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol ≥ 3.0 mmol/L</td>
<td>116 (59.2)</td>
<td>139 (53.98)</td>
<td>0.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol ≥ 3.4 mmol/L</td>
<td>82 (41.8)</td>
<td>94 (36.4)</td>
<td>0.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidaemia^a</td>
<td>147 (75.0)</td>
<td>196 (76.0)</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.4</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides ≥ 2.0 mmol/L</td>
<td>93 (41.9)</td>
<td>46 (17.0)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycaemia (mmol/L)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired fasting glycaemib</td>
<td>21 (11.3)</td>
<td>23 (10.5)</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of diabetes</td>
<td>43 (19.4)</td>
<td>60 (24.2)</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real diabetesc</td>
<td>78 (35.1)</td>
<td>81 (31.8)</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated diabetesd</td>
<td>39 (90.7)</td>
<td>33 (68.8)</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are given as mean ± standard deviation or number (%). HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

^a LDL cholesterol ≥ 3.0 mmol/L (Spain), ≥ 3.4 mmol/L (France) or HDL cholesterol ≤ 1 mmol/L.

^b Glycaemia ranging from 6.1 to 6.9 mmol/L in patients not previously diagnosed with diabetes.

^c History of diabetes or glycaemia ≥ 7 mmol/L.

^d Patients with a history of diabetes on drug treatment.

^e Computed from log-transformed values.
Discussion

Our results show a high prevalence of major cardiovascular risk factors in CHD patients both in Gerona (Spain) and Toulouse (France). Indeed, 97.4% of the French and 97.2% of the Spanish participants presented with at least one of the five following conditions: hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes, smoking or obesity. Previous international studies have shown that the overall pattern of cardiovascular risk factors exists irrespective of geographic origin [10, 13]. Despite similarities between Toulouse and Gerona areas (two regions that are close from a geographical point of view, located in South-West Europe and characterized by...
Cardiovascular risk factors in French and Spanish men with CHD

The most prevalent cardiovascular risk factor was dyslipidaemia in both regions. However, the lipid profile in French participants was more unfavourable than in Spanish subjects and the use of lipid-lowering drugs was significantly lower in France. Consistent with the EUROASPIRE (European Action on Secondary Prevention by Intervention to Reduce Events) findings [11,12,14], lipid control was insufficient in the GENES and REGICOR studies: about half of the participants did not reach the European goal established in 1998 for LDL cholesterol in secondary prevention of CHD (guidelines used when patients were included) [22] and one-third remained above the threshold proposed by the 2000 French guidelines (3.4 mmol/L) [23]. The poorer control of lipids observed in French patients compared with Spanish patients is likely to be related to the less extensive use of lipid-lowering drugs in France, although the EUROASPIRE data have shown that poor lipid control may persist in CHD patients, even after a dramatic improvement in the prescription rates of lipid-lowering drugs [14].

An important finding of the study lies in the observation that more than half of the CHD patients had low HDL cholesterol (<1 mmol/L). The concentrations were much lower than those described in other studies conducted among CHD-free individuals living in Gerona and Toulouse areas [25,27]. It is well known that HDL cholesterol plays a key role in the development of atherosclerosis, but raising HDL cholesterol concentration still remains difficult [28]. On the one hand, lifestyle modifications (i.e., diet, exercise, weight loss and smoking cessation) have been shown to have a favourable impact on HDL cholesterol concentration; on the other hand, evidence of the clinical efficacy of HDL-cholesterol-raising drugs is far less abundant than evidence accumulated for statins [29]. Beyond LDL cholesterol control, raising HDL cholesterol is essential to reduce residual risk in CHD patients.

Hypertension was very common in both populations. The proportion of treated patients among those who reported a previous history of hypertension was close to 100%. Nevertheless, blood pressure control remained poor, especially in French participants. This failure has been described extensively in other studies [11,12,14,19] and is generally attributed to low-dose treatment prescriptions, inadequate up-titration of doses and poor patient compliance [14], but could also be related to severe hypertension before treatment. Despite the availability of numerous antihypertensive drugs, blood pressure control is still challenging in CHD patients.

Diabetes increases markedly the risk of CHD and non-fatal recurrent coronary events in patients with clinically established CHD [30]. One-quarter of patients enrolled in the GENES and REGICOR studies were known diabetics and about an additional 10% (14% in France and 8% in Spain) had fasting plasma glucose concentrations > 7 mmol/L, which could be compatible with a diagnosis of diabetes. Consequently, more than one-third of patients were potentially affected by glucose disturbances, and thus should be considered at high risk of recurrence.

According to a previous study, CHD events are attributed largely to smoking in Spanish men [31]. Although the design of our study did not allow us to compute population-attributable risk fractions, our results show that smoking is extremely prevalent in CHD patients aged less than 60 years, with very few people achieving smoking cessation. In older age groups (60—74 years), a greater proportion of patients have quit, although 37% remained current smokers. These results highlight the need to reinforce all measures that could help patients to quit smoking permanently, in line with all current recommendations on cardiovascular prevention [32]. From a population point of view, laws aimed at forbidding smoking in public areas have been strengthened recently in France and Spain and could have a favourable impact on the prevalence of smoking in CHD patients.

No difference was found in the percentages of French and Spanish participants who were treated with a combination of the four drugs recommended in secondary prevention: statins, antiplatelet agents, beta-blockers and ACE-inhibitors. This drug combination has been shown to improve survival in high-risk patients with CHD [33] and is recommended by the European guidelines for cardiovascular prevention [22,32], although the role of ACE-inhibitors in CHD patients without a history of heart failure is still controversial [33—35]. According to a previous study, heart failure is the main determinant of the use of ACE-inhibitors in France [9].

Finally, the proportion of non-smoking CHD patients who were free of hypertension, dyslipidaemia, diabetes and obesity was very small (<3%) in both countries, suggesting that traditional risk factors remain central in the development of atherothrombosis in low-risk populations. However, our results also point out differences in the distribution, treatment and control of risk factors that should be taken into consideration to adapt prevention programmes to local characteristics. Causes of CHD are widely dependent on socioeconomic and cultural factors, which determine unhealthy lifestyles, and on healthcare systems, which determine patient management [1,32]. It is also likely that differences between France and Spain in coronary patients’ risk factors reflect differences in the background population cardiovascular risk profile. Accordingly, two previous studies conducted in Spanish and French subjects recruited from the general population suggested that there are lower smoking rates and higher total cholesterol, LDL cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations in the general French population compared with the general Spanish population [25,36]. We describe in our present paper similar disparities between French and Spanish coronary patients.

Limitations

Several points should be discussed regarding our analyses and results. First, the GENES study included individuals with first or recurrent acute myocardial infarction, whereas participants in the REGICOR study were all recruited after a first acute myocardial infarction. We believe these different recruitment strategies may have contributed to observed differences in the control of risk factors between France and Spain, as patients with a history of recurrent events are likely to have a more severe risk factor profile. However, goals for cardiovascular prevention do not differ according to the number of acute events presented previously by the
patient. When necessary, in cases of poor risk factor profile, more intensive management should be provided so that every patient in a situation of secondary prevention achieves the recommended goals.

Second, the period of recruitment was slightly different in the two studies (2001–2004 for the GENES study and 1995–2004 for the REGICOR study, although participants in the REGICOR study were recruited mainly after 2001). This gap in the recruitment periods may have affected treatment patterns. For instance, the rate for the use of antiplatelet drugs was low in the Spanish sample, but should be interpreted as a mean proportion obtained from recent and older periods and reflecting the progressive implementation of the guidelines on cardiovascular prevention that were published in 1998. Even so, the use of antiplatelet drugs is very low in the Spanish sample and may be related to the inclusion of patients aged greater than 70 years, for whom antiplatelet drugs may have been underprescribed before the end of the 1990s.

Third, the elapsed time between the occurrence of the myocardial infarction and examination in the study was different in Spanish and French participants, with shorter delays in Spain. Given that drug prescription, adhesion to treatment and, consequently, control of risk factors are probably better in patients who have presented recently with an acute coronary event, differences in delays may have increased differences in the management of risk factors between France and Spain. However, recommendations for smoking cessation and control of blood pressure, glycaemia and cholesterol are identical for patients with recent myocardial infarction and for those with an older history, and drug use should not vary with the time elapsed since the acute syndrome.

**Conclusion**

The strength of our present study lies in the comparison of two countries with different lifestyle habits, dietary patterns and healthcare systems, but relatively similar CHD incidence. Comparisons were based on extensive clinical and biological evaluations of cardiovascular risk factors. We have pointed out higher smoking rates, better blood pressure control and better lipid profiles in Spain compared with France. This may reflect differences in the background profile of risk factors in the general population. Regarding lipids, differences may also be related to the implementation of a lower recommended goal for cholesterol control and greater use of lipid-lowering drugs in Spain at the beginning of the 2000s, when most of the study recruitment took place.
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