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Achievement Domain. Supported by funding from Shire Development LLC.

HRQoL of children and adolescents with ADHD as measured by the CHIP-CE

Endpoint in CHIP-CE: PRF Achievement Domain T-Score was 10.5 (7.9, 13.0; least squares (LS) mean change (95% confidence interval) from baseline to ± 1.3 and 31.2

Achievement Domain T-Scores were 30.9 ± 9.4 (placebo) and 31.2 ± 10.2 (OROS-MPH). The difference (active drug minus placebo) in least squares (LS) mean change (95% confidence interval) from baseline to endpoint in CHIP-CE: PRF Achievement Domain T-Score was 10.5 (7.9, 13.0; P<0.001, effect size 1.280) for LDX and 7.5 (4.9, 10.0; P<0.001, effect size 0.912) for OROS-MPH. LDX was more effective than placebo in improving HRQoL of children and adolescents with ADHD as measured by the CHIP-CE achievement domain. Supported by funding from Shire Development LLC.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurenf.2012.05.307
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A global perspective on child and adolescent mental health research: Closing the 10/90 gap

C. Kieling
Department of Psychiatry, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil

Notwithstanding the disproportionate burden that mental disorders represent to children and adolescents worldwide, research in this area has predominantly originated from high-income countries (HIC). In contrast, nine out of 10 individuals under the age of 18 years live in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC). We have previously shown that only around 5% of all indexed psychiatric journals are published in LMIC, and that 90% of randomized clinical trials assessing interventions for mental health problems in children and adolescents come from HIC. As dissemination of scientific research has a pivotal role in the development and implementation of evidence-based health policies and practices, we here further explore this by assessing indexed journals and the scientific output in the field of child and adolescent mental health.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurenf.2012.05.309
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Journal impact factor – Holy Grail or siren voice?

E. Sonuga-Barke
Psychology, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

At the Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry our mission is to provide a translational bridge between the very best scientific research on child and adolescent psychology and psychiatry and clinical practice for the improvement of the lives of children and adolescents and their families. How do we know if we are doing a good job? In modern parlance — what is our impact? Our gold standard impact metric would be an estimate of the positive benefit that the clinical scientific research published in the JCPP has on the lives of children and their families. However, the distal real-world impact of a journal such as ours is that authors will stop submitting their best papers to it and readers will stop reading it. However, there is a downside to the relentless pursuit of high JIF as an end itself by journal editors which could have serious implications for both science and practice. Papers are not always cited for the right reasons (very bad papers can be highly cited because they are controversial); The JIF is open to manipulation by the journal and can be artificially inflated through ethically questionable practices (e.g., imposed self citation). An exclusive focus on increasing the JIF over all other considerations can distort a scientific field by making referees and editors more conservative and narrow in their choice of papers so altering the balance and mix of papers within a journal. It can also harm the development of young and talented independent researchers as higher status and more established researcher may take precedence. In this talk I discuss the strategic considerations that these issues raises for journals like the JCPP and I describe some of the dilemmas that editorial boards face in balancing JIF against the need to maintain a broad-based and accessible perspective of child psychology and psychiatry.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neurenf.2012.05.308
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Based on a 20-year experience of collaboration between child psychiatry and the police

S. Marans
National Center For Children Exposed To Violence, Yale Child study center, New Haven, USA

The presentation will describe the Child Development-Community Policing (CD-CP) program developed at the Yale Child Study Center as a response to children exposed to traumatic violence and will describe the brief trauma treatment, CFTSI, that grew out of many years of work with traumatized children and families. Both intervention strategies have been used with children and families who have been affected by violence, child abuse, acute medical trauma and other catastrophic events. The CD-CP program has proven effective in helping to stabilize acute reactions to traumatic events, serve as a method of engagement and for follow-up coordinated care. The Child and Family Traumatic Stress Intervention has demonstrated effectiveness in ameliorating symptoms in the peri-traumatic phase, increasing communication and family support, identifying the need for longer-term mental health treatment and, in significantly decreasing the likelihood of children developing PTSD and related disorders.

– the audience will have an appreciation of acute traumatization and the risk and protective factors for recovery that can inform intervention strategies;
– the audience will have an understanding of the Child Development-Community Policing Program as a part of a response to childhood trauma;