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EPW are classically equipped with a standard electronic system allowing basic functionalities including:
– variable and controlled speed driving;
– position changing.

Due to the negative and polymorphous progression of our patient’s deficiencies, the electronic system can become unable to meet the patient’s new needs. Adaptation of the EPW with an upgradable electronic system offers new possibilities to patients allowing:
– continued use of the EPW with or without help;
– increase of autonomy and control on their environment.

This electronic system evolution can provide:
– new modalities of driving with new input devices: mini joystick, latched mode...;
– more positions offered with new electrical functions, a better actuators management (stroke, speeds, “therapeutic” positions...);
– effective role on environment with standards channels (IR, Bluetooth) or specialized channels (×10, zWave).

Power wheelchairs can be equipped by the manufacturer, during the initial order, with an upgradable electronic system, at an increased cost.

The initial choice of an upgradable electronic system that will adapt to the progression of the deficiencies is very important. Otherwise, it is not necessary to renew the whole EPW, as often recommended. Nevertheless it is often cost effective to upgrade the electronic system even if minimally or not covered by health insurance.

This upgrade allows keeping the wheelchair for which positioning work has already been done and funded.
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Camptodactyly (Greek campstos: bend + dauctulos: finger), which was described by Landouzy, is defined as a permanent flexion of the proximal interphalangeal joint (PIP). It is most often a deformity of the little finger. Two types have been described: a congenital type and an acquired (adolescent) type. Eighty percent of cases, if untreated, showed no improvement or got progressively worse. Surgery is rarely indicated because of disappointing results. Conservative treatment must be tried first, to recover the passive and active range of motion of thePIP.

Aim.– To assess efficacy of successive splinting in camptodactyly.

Method.– The occupational therapist make a low temperature thermoformable splint. Wrist and metacarpal-phalangeal joint are in neutral position or in extension. Affected PIP are postured with strapping and foam units for each treated finger to form supports and to control the finger rotation. It is a sleep splinting.

It is a retrospective study about 30 camptodactylies which received a conservative treatment in the department. Splint making by the occupational therapist, evolution follow up and splint adjustment or replacement each two weeks or each month.

Results.– The treatment lead to a complete (62%) or sub-complete (fissum PIP < 10°; 38%) recovery of all the camptodactylies at the end of the treatment.

Discussion.– Treatment is often long (average 7 months). Compliance and tolerance are good for nursing whom splint are put by the parents when sleeping, sometimes it’s more difficult in acquired type. Long-term efficacy needs to be evaluated.
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Objectives.– Electric-powered wheelchair (EPW) allows users’ to improve their autonomy in their movement as well as improve their quality of life [1]. The objective of this study is to analyse users’ satisfaction after their first acquisition of anEPW.

Method.– A prospective study with retrospectives data was conducted. Patients with a first purchase of an EPW since 2011 received an occupational therapy interview. Satisfaction with technical solution (ESAT scale), overall satisfaction (VAS) and the impact of the EPW on quality of life (VAS) were evaluated.

Results.– Twenty-two patients received the assessment (55 years ± 13.6; 59% of women). On average patients were seen 11 months ± 6.3 after the acquisition. The EPW’s tests lasted on average 6.5 days ± 7.6 per EPW with an average of 1.9 EPWs’ tested. Twenty-seven percent refused testing a second EPW. The most important criteria for this choice the most frequently cited are: efficiency, (60%), comfort (52%) et facility of use (57.1%). Efficiency (4.45/5), adjustment (4.43/5) and comfort (4.36/5) were the criteria’s that gave the most satisfaction whereas the after-sale service (3.8/5), the weight (3.72/5) and the procedure (4.14/5) gave the most dissatisfaction. Globally patients are very satisfied with their EPW (VAS = 8.1) and notice a big impact on their quality of life (VAS = 8).

Conclusion.– Users are generally very satisfied with their EPW following a first acquisition with an occupational therapy support.
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