Arthroscopic treatment of intercondylar eminence fractures with intraepiphyseal screws in children and adolescents

H. Najdi*, C. Thévenin-lemoine, J. Sales de gauzy, F. Accadbled

Département d’orthopédie et de traumatologie pédiatrique, hôpital des enfants, 330, avenue de Grande Bretagne, TSA 70034, 31059 Toulouse cedex 9, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 16 July 2015
Accepted 4 February 2016

Keywords:
Tibia
Intercondylar eminence
Fracture
Arthroscopy
Arthrofibrosis
Growth stunting
Children

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Tibial intercondylar eminence fracture rarely occurs in childhood. Its treatment requires anatomic reduction to provide knee stability and a rigid fixation to minimize postoperative immobilization time.

Hypothesis: Arthroscopy combined with fluoroscopy with intra-epiphyseal ASNIS screw fixation can meet the requirements of this treatment.

Material and methods: The series comprised 24 patients (mean age: 11 years) with Meyers and McKeever type II tibial intercondylar eminence fractures (n = 15) or type III (n = 9), operated on between 2011 and 2013. Fixation with 4-mm ASNIS screws was placed arthroscopically. The demographic data, associated lesions, radiological union, stability, functional result, and the Lysholm score were evaluated.

Results: With a mean follow-up of 2 years, the mean Lysholm score was 99.3. The type II and 98.6 for type III fractures. At the 6th postoperative week, range of motion in the operated knees was identical to the healthy knees. At the 12th postoperative week, there was no sign of anterior laxity. Twelve cases included meniscal entrapment, but no significant difference was observed in the functional results.

Discussion, conclusion: ASNIS screw fixation under arthroscopy can be successfully applied in the treatment of type II and III tibial intercondylar eminence fractures in children. This technique provides excellent stability, allows early weigh-bearing, and preserves function of the knee and its growth.

Level of evidence: IV, retrospective study.

© 2016 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Fracture of the tibial intercondylar eminence in children is a relatively rare lesion with an incidence of 3 per 100,000 childhood fractures [1]. It corresponds to the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tear in adults.

In children, most often the tibial intercondylar eminence is not completely ossified and the collagen fibers of the ACL are continuous with the perichondrium of growth cartilage. This is why fewer ligament lesions and more avulsion fractures are found in children, with a high incidence between 8 and 17 years of age [1].

Meyers and McKeever published the classification of these fractures in 1959 [2]. Treatment remains orthopaedic for type I non-displaced fractures [3,4], it is surgical for type II fractures with extension to the joint surface or in cases that show knee instability [4–6]. Type III/A fractures (displaced), type III/B (turned and displaced), and type IV (comminuted) always call for arthrotomy.

The objective of this study was to analyze and assess the results of arthroscopic intra-epiphyseal screw fixation for types II and III tibial intercondylar eminence fractures in children. We hypothesized that this technique without arthrotomy preserves sufficient stability and allows early recovery, significantly reducing the onset of stiffness and arthrofibrosis.

2. Material and methods

This retrospective single-center study was conducted in our institution between 2012 and 2013, on children treated arthroscopically for Meyers and McKeever types II and III tibial intercondylar eminence fractures. We excluded type I fractures (non-displaced) and types II and III fractures that were treated with arthrotomy. We collected data on the different parameters on the patients’ demographics, the fracture mechanism, treatment, associated lesions, and the duration of immobilization.
Twenty-four fractures in 24 patients (17 males, 7 females) were treated with this method and followed up for 2 years (range: 1.5–3 years). The mean age at injury was 11 years (range: 6–15 years), with 13 left sides and 11 right sides. Type II (15 cases) and type III (9 cases) fractures were defined on AP and lateral radiographs (Fig. 1A). A CT was done in 4 patients and MRI in one. The injury mechanisms were all related to sports, skiing (7 cases), rugby (7 cases), cycling (6 cases), football (2 cases), and high jump (2 cases).

Arthroscopy was carried out under general anesthetic, in the dorsal decubitus position, legs dangling, with a tourniquet at the root of the lower limb and a vice at the knee. The image intensifier was installed laterally before draping. Using standard anterolateral and anteromedial approaches, we performed hemarthrosis evacuation and abundant lavage, and the exploration of associated lesions found in 12 patients (Table 1). The fracture was identified and the surrounding area cleaned, and the recess reamed with an arthroscopic reamer so as to obtain at least anatomic reduction or even McLennon counter-sinking [7]. Through the parapatellar medial accessory entry, the fractured fragment was reduced using a metallic cannula and a guidewire was inserted through the motorized cannula. Osteosynthesis was provided using one or two 4-mm-diameter ASNIS (Stryker) screws through the medial parapatellar approach in the intraepiphyseal position with arthroscopic and lateral radioscopic guidance (no drilling, self-boring and self-tapping ASNIS screws). In most cases, we used 12- to 20-mm-long screws with long threading in cancellous bone of the proximal epiphysis of the tibia, which is relatively flexible. The fixation was deemed satisfactory when the distal end of the screw did not reach the growth plate, whereas its proximal end was located below the joint cartilage. One case of a lesion in the posterior segment of the lateral meniscus with 2 cm in the red-white zone was repaired using two vertical FastFix sutures. One case of an osteochondral lesion related to the injury was noted, but it did not require reduction or fixation. At the end of surgery, drainage was put in place and Ropivaïne applied within the joint. Immobilization was maintained for 4 weeks with a long-leg cast for patients less than 10 years of age, in the extension position, or a Zimmer knee brace in older children. Then physical therapy was prescribed two or three times a week. Partial weightbearing was authorized at this time, which became total weight-bearing at the 6th postoperative week. Return to sports was authorized only at the 4th month. The screw was removed only if it crossed the growth plate, no earlier than the 3rd postoperative month. The patients were clinically and radiologically assessed at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 1 year after the operation. Knee stability was confirmed by a physical examination including the Lachmann, anterior drawer, and Pivot shift.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesion</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
<th>Type 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entrapment of anterior horn of medial meniscus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entrapment of intermeniscal ligament</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Another lesion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No lesions</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tests. The functional result was assessed using the Lysholm score [8], pain evaluation, satisfaction with treatment, return to prior level of activity, onset of complications, and the need for surgical revision.

3. Results

The postoperative x-rays (Fig. 1B) showed anatomical correction of all fractures. The 6-week x-ray showed complete healing (Fig. 1C). At 3 postoperative months, all patients walked without limping, with dry, stable knees, and with symmetrical range of movement. The radiograph showed complete healing with the material in place. The mean Lysholm score was 99.3 in type II fractures and 98.6 in type III fractures. Similar results were found at 6 months and 1 year.

Return to daily activities and sports was noted after 6 months in all patients except one, who presented anterior laxity and underwent revision surgery to remove the screw and then for ligament reconstruction 1 year later. At the mean follow-up of 2 years (range: 1–3 years), the range of motion of the operated knee was identical to the healthy side in all patients, except one patient whose flexion was limited to 125°. The Lysholm score and the satisfaction score varied from very good to excellent in all patients (Table 2). There was no notable residual pain or complications such as secondary fracture dislocation, malunion or union delay, growth stunting, or epiphyseal lesion.

4. Discussion

Treatment of non-displaced fractures (type I) is clearly a simple orthopaedic treatment. Problems can arise in treatment of types II and III fractures, given that anatomical reduction and reconstruction of the joint surface are required to preserve knee function.

Tibial intracondylar eminence fracture surgical management techniques include both open approaches and arthroscopic techniques. Fixation of fragments can be performed using Kirchner wires [9], steel wire cerclage [10], intraosseous sutures [11], screws with washers [4], intrafocal fixation [4], and retrograde compression screws anchored in bone [12]. It is important to consider the size and fragmentation of the revulsed eminence: if the size of the avulsion is sufficiently large, screw fixation is recommended because it is the most stable fixation method [13]; if the fragment size is small or if the avulsed eminence is fragmented, the fixation can be done using intraosseous sutures or thin steel wire looped through the cruciate ligament and then threaded through holes drilled in the proximal end of the tibia [11,14]. In a study on 17 patients with type II or III tibial intracondylar eminence fractures, arthroscopically treated with either screws or suture, Hunter et al. [15] found no significant difference between these two methods in terms of results. Comparing their result to ours, we realize that these two series are not comparable, with a much wider age range in their series (mean: 26.6 years; range: 7.5–60.1 years). In a retrospective study, Senekovic et al. [16] demonstrated good therapeutic results for tibial intracondylar eminence fractures with arthroscopic treatment, using cannulated screws with washers, which allowed immediate weight-bearing. However, countersunk screws could cause problems if revision surgery is required as well as in ACL reconstruction. However, it is not impossible to insert an interference screw next to the original screw.

Entrapment of the anterior horn of the medial meniscus or the intermeniscal ligament under the fragment of the tibial intracondylar eminence was reported by Falstie-Jensen et al. [17] and others [18,19]. The entrapped structures prevent reduction of 65% of type III fractures and 26% of type II fractures. Kocher et al. [20] reported 54% entrapment of the anterior horn of the medial meniscus, the intermeniscal ligament, or of the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus. However, interposition of soft tissues had no significant effect on the long-term results because all the avulsions were anatomically reduced after removal (with retraction or resection) of the interposed soft tissues. Twelve of our 24 patients (50%) showed soft tissue interposition between the avulsed fragment and the tibia. We solved this interposition in the 12 cases and obtained anatomical reduction, with no difference in the results when we compared these patients with those with no soft tissue interposition. In the literature, we found 14% associated ligament lesions [21], whereas the prevalence of associated meniscal lesions is rarer (6–8%) and therefore does not seem to be associated with anterior meniscal or intermeniscal ligament entrapment [20]. Despite anatomic reduction, anterior instability, stiffness (notably loss of knee extension), and pain with knee extension are common complications [22]. Stiffness (with or without extension deficit) is the most frequent complication. This may be related to postoperative cast or brace wear over a long period of time. In the present study, none of the patients had developed stiffness at the last follow-up. As a consequence, the early exercises that we favored in our study because of the rigid internal fixation are recommended to preserve knee function and reduce the incidence of stiffness.

In children, the appearance of more than a 50% increase in the initial length of the ACL fiber before tibial intracondylar eminence fracture is clear proof of laxity [22]. Kocher et al. [23] reported the persistence of anterior laxity in 6 patients, with a positive Lachman score in 5 cases, a click on the pivot shift test in 2 cases, and an increase in KT-1000 laxity in four patients, despite excellent functional results in these 6 patients. These results are consistent with those reported by Baxter and Wiley [24,25] for 17 patients, with excellent functional results without instability, despite a positive Lachman exam in 51% of the patients and a 3.5-mm increase in the mean differential laxity. This objective laxity could be related to the interstitial lesion and lengthening of the ACL at the time of tibial intercondylar spine fracture [23]. We observed that nearly all of our patients returned to the same level of sports, without an impression of the knee giving way, with no instability episodes and with no delayed meniscus lesions. A single patient underwent a new intervention for instability with ACL reconstruction. The residual laxity reported in the literature results from several factors, including insufficient reduction, because anatomic reduction with no internal fixation can result in secondary displacements and pathological laxity [7].

One of the potential limitations of the study is the relatively low number of cases studied. However, it is well known that tibial intracondylar eminence fractures are rare, but the series that we present herein is comparable with other studies on the same type of fracture [13,15,26]. We propose a therapeutic alternative that can stabilize types II and III tibial intracondylar eminence fractures. On the other hand, the arthroscopic screw fixation technique is not adapted to treatment of type IV comminute fractures. Another of the study’s limitations is that only standard x-rays and in a few cases CT comprised the essential preoperative imaging protocol. However, other authors have reported results of tibial spine fracture in children (or adults) using classic standard x-rays and arthroscopy only as diagnostic tools [7,27].

ASNIS screw fixation for tibial intracondylar eminence fracture with arthroscopy undertaken in cases with good indications, performed with precision and caution, is an adapted surgical method for the treatment of types II and III tibial intracondylar eminence fractures in children. The method provides sufficient stability and early weight-bearing, with no need to immobilize the knee for a long period of time. Use of 4-mm-diameter ASNIS screws in the epiphysis spares the knee from mechanical joint problems and biological disturbance at the growth plate.
Table 2
Results of the 24 patients who completed the follow-up questionnaire after internal arthroscopic fixation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Investigations</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Associate lesions</th>
<th>Follow-up (years)</th>
<th>Movement</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Lysholm score</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Return to previous level of activity (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx + CT</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Entrapment AHMM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Entrapment AHMM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Entrapment AHMM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Flexion: 125°</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx + CT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Flexion: 130°</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Entrapment AHMM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Entrapment AHMM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx + CT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Entrapment IML</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx + MRI</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A vertical lesion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Entrapment IML</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Entrapment IML</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx + CT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Entrapment AHMM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Entrapment AHMM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Entrapment IML</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rx: radiograph; AHMM: anterior horn of medial meniscus; IML: intermeniscal ligament; PSLM: posterior segment of the lateral meniscus.
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