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Abstract

**Purpose.** Diverticulosis is defined by the presence of diverticula along any segment of the GI tract. Diverticulosis and its associated complications may involve the appendix. The appendix. The imaging and histological findings of 21 cases of diverticulitis of the appendix are reviewed.

**Materials and methods.** Sonography, because of its high spatial resolution, is an ideal imaging technique to diagnose diverticulitis of the appendix.

**Results.** Similar to diverticulosis of the large bowel, diverticula of the appendix correspond to pseudo-diverticula composed of mucosa and sub mucosa herniating through the muscular layer. Chronic inflammatory changes affect the surrounding appendicular wall, as confirmed by histological examination. Clinical symptoms range from chronic right lower quadrant abdominal pain to acute appendicitis and even peritonitis.

**Conclusion.** Based on this retrospective analysis of 21 cases, it is possible to describe the specific and sensitive imaging findings for diagnosis of simple and complicated forms of diverticulitis of the appendix. Surgery is the treatment of choice because of the high risk of perforation.
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**Materials and Methods**

We have retrospectively reviewed 21 cases of appendiceal diverticulosis, complicated or not, diagnosed at US between March 1994 and March 2003. The US examinations were performed using Kretz technik,© units that have changed over time with technical advances: Combison 330, Combison 530 MT© and Voluson 730©. High frequency transducers were always used. Power Doppler was only used after 1998.

For each case, the clinical and laboratory as well as US features consistent with a positive diagnosis were recorded. First, features of the appendiceal wall: measurement of global wall thickness, and when possible, measurement of each wall layer thickness, integrity of wall layer anatomy, appearance of the lumen,
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**Fig. 1:** Distal simple diverticulum. Transverse view, distal third – 1. anechoic nodule (3mm) – 2. Muscular layer.

**Fig. 1:** Diverticule simple de la pointe. Coupe transversale, tiers distal – 1. nodule anéchogène de 3 mm – 2. musculeuse.

**Fig. 2:** Simple diverticulum. Transverse view, endovaginal view – 1. diverticulum – 2. Enlarged lumen filled with mucus – 3. iliac vessels.

**Fig. 2:** Diverticule simple. Coupe transversale, voie endovaginale – 1. diverticule – 2. lumière distendue par du mucus, 3. vaisseau iliaque.

**Fig. 3:** Distal diverticulum. Transverse view, distal third – 1. thickened muscular layer – * disruption of the muscular layer.

**Fig. 3:** Diverticule distal. Coupe transversale, tiers distal – 1. musculeuse hypertrophiée – * interruption de la musculeuse.

**Fig. 4:** Chronic appendicitis. Middle third, transverse view – 1. asymmetrical thickening of the sub-mucosa – 2. moderately thickened muscular layer.

**Fig. 4:** Appendicite chronique. Tiers moyen, coupe transversale – 1. épaississement asymétrique de la sous-muqueuse – 2. épaississement modéré de la musculeuse.

**Fig. 5:** Obliterating chronic distal appendicitis. Longitudinal view of the distal appendix – 1. moderately thickened muscular layer – 2. psoas muscle – * absence of the lumen.

**Fig. 5:** Appendicite chronique distale oblitérante. Coupe longitudinales de la pointe – 1. musculeuse discrètement hypertrophiée – 2. muscle psoas – * disparition de la lumière.

**Fig. 6:** Chronic appendicitis. Transverse view, middle third – 1 thickened sub-mucosa – 2. thickened muscular layer – → reduced lumen (cf Fig. 9).

**Fig. 6:** Appendicite chronique. Coupe transversale, tiers moyen – 1. hypertrophie de la sous-muqueuse – 2. musculeuse hypertrophiée – → lumière rétrécie (cf Fig. 9).
compressibility, echogenicity of the meso and vascularity at power Doppler. Then, features of the diverticulum: nodule attached to the muscularis, size of the nodule, echogenicity, number, location relative to the tip of the appendix, and when possible relative to the meso. Sixteen of the 21 patients underwent surgery. Pathological evaluation included macroscopic evaluation of the specimen followed by histological evaluation after trichrome staining (hemalum, phloxine, safran).

**Results**

A total of 21 patients were included, ten males and 11 females. The mean age of 11 patients with simple uncomplicated diverticulosis was 47.7 years and the mean age of 10 patients with complicated diverticulosis was 50.7 years. Patients with simple diverticulosis presented with recurrent episodes of right lower quadrant abdominal pain without detectable abnormality at the time of physical examination. One patient with diverticulosis presented with acute pain and sepsis due to appendicitis. Five patients were evaluated for unrelated indications and the appendicectomy was incidental. On the other hand, 80% of patients with complicated appendiceal diverticulitis presented with a clinical syndrome suggestive of acute appendicitis with fever above 38°C, right lower quadrant abdominal pain, with or without guarding. Patients with abscess formation or perforation, contained or free, had more severe presenting symptoms.

A summary of the US features of simple diverticulosis is presented in Table I and a summary of the US features of complicated diverticulosis is presented in Table II. Simple diverticula usually present as a hypoechoic nodule along the distal appendix (91% of cases) (fig. 1-3). They abut the appendix and the appendiceal wall usually shows significant thickening of the muscular and submucosal layers (fig. 4-6). Diverticulitis (5 cases) is associated with characteristic inflammatory changes: hyperechoic meso (fig. 7), irregular thickening of the serosa (fig. 8), and increased flow is present at power Doppler (fig. 9). Abscesses (3 cases) are hypoechoic and contain low level echoes with increased through transmission (fig. 10). Peri-diverticular inflammatory changes are also more advanced: hypoechoic strands are present at the surface of the muscular layer and along the meso. At the stage of perforation (2 cases), US findings are consistent with peritonitis, focal or generalized.

All symptomatic patients underwent surgery. Follow-up was not available for the five patients where diverticulosis was an incidental finding (mean time interval of 4.5 years). Histological analysis of the surgical specimen showed:

- 6 cases of simple diverticulum (fig. 11, 12), one with incidental acute appendicitis.
- 10 cases of diverticulitis (fig. 13), 3 with abscess (fig. 14a) and 2 with perforation.

Changes of chronic appendicitis were noted in all cases (fig. 15-17). These features
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**Fig. 7:** Inflammatory meso. Longitudinal view, distal third – 1. hypoechoic exudate – 2. inflammatory meso (arrow).

**Fig. 7 :** Meso inflammatoire. Coupe longitudinale – tiers distal – 1. exsudat hypo-échogène – 2. méso inflammatoire hyper-échogène délimité par un liseré (flèche).
are discussed later. The diverticulum was overlooked at surgery in most cases. It may also be overlooked at histology unless the US report is available for correlation. In three cases, the diverticulum was identified only upon further review of the histological material. The post-operative outcome was always favorable.

### Table I

**Imaging features of simple diverticulum and appendiceal wall.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Hypoechoic nodule abutting the muscular layer</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Longitudinal location (%)</th>
<th>Location relative to the meso (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diverticulum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 to 5</td>
<td>distal: 82</td>
<td>mesenteric: 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appendiceal wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mid and distal: 18</td>
<td>antimesenteric: 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mesenteric and antimesenteric: 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II

**Imaging features of complicated diverticulum and appendiceal wall.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Hypoechoic nodule abutting the muscular layer</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Increased through transmission (%)</th>
<th>Location relative to the meso (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diverticulitis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mesenteric: 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>antimesenteric: 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mesenteric and antimesenteric: 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appendiceal wall</th>
<th>Obliteration of the lumen (%)</th>
<th>Distension by mucus (%)</th>
<th>Global thickening of the appendix (%)</th>
<th>Thicken of the submucosal and muscular layers (%)</th>
<th>Loss of the layered appearance (%)</th>
<th>Loss of compressibility (%)</th>
<th>Irregular serosal thickening (%)</th>
<th>Hyperechoic meso (%)</th>
<th>Hyperechoic stranding (%)</th>
<th>Submucosal signal at power Doppler (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Discussion

#### Histopathology

The gross and microscopic appearance of the normal appendix is first reviewed. At macroscopy, the appendix is blind ending, grayish pink, smooth and soft with a mean length of 8 cm (7) and thickness of 5 to 7 mm. The meso contains a variable amount of fat and is only a few mm thick. At histology, 4 main layers are identified (fig. 18):

- Colonic type mucosa with surface epithelium and crypts of Lieberkühn extending to the lamina propria. Lymphoid
Fig. 9: Doppler energy of the distal appendix. Longitudinal view. doppler signal of the appendiceal and abscess wall.

Fig. 9 : Doppler énergie de la pointe. Coupe longitudinales. signal doppler de la paroi appendiculaire et de la coque de l’abcès.

Fig. 10: Abscessed distal diverticulitis.


Fig. 10 : Diverticulite abcédée de la pointe.

a échographie : Coupe transversale – 1. contenu finement échogène – 2. renforcement postérieur – 3. graisse inflammatoire hyper-échogène.


Fig. 11: Pseudo-diverticulum. Transverse view, distal third, low magnification – 1. atrophied diverticular mucosa – 2. submucosa – 3. thickened muscular layer – >< muscular layer disruption.

Fig. 11 : Diverticule acquis. Coupe transversale, tiers distal, faible grossissement – 1. muqueuse diverticulaire atrophiée – 2. sous-muqueuse – 3. musculeuse hypertrophiée – >< interruption de la musculeuse.

Nodules are present extending into the submucosa. These regress if not completely disappear in elderly subjects.
- Submucosa composed of loose connective-adipose tissue containing multiple vessels with a maximum thickness of 0.5 mm.
- Muscular layer composed of two distinct components: circular inner component and longitudinal outer component, with a thickness less than 1 mm.
- Serosa, thin and composed of connective-vascular tissue. The vascular supply is provided by the appendicular artery and vein. They supply a collateral network, with some perforating the muscular layer via vascular hiatus (fig. 19) partially filled with connective tissue.

In all 16 cases where surgery was performed, and as previously reported by Jean,
the appendix was involved by scarring, either local or diffuse. The lumen is narrowed, if not completely obliterated, by fibrous tissue leading some authors to use the term chronic obliterating appendicitis (fig. 15-17). The mucosa is atrophied and the lymphoid layer is atrophied or absent. The submucosa and muscular layers are thickened. As a result, the wall may be more than 3 mm thick, and be as thick as 5-6 mm. The size of the clefts containing the vasa recta in the muscular layer widens. The combination of these features is considered by some as chronic appendicitis whereas others consider this physiologic involution. The goal here is not to open a debate on this subject but merely to be aware of these changes in order to understand the US features to follow.

Two types of diverticulum can occur: congenital diverticulum and acquired diverticulum or pseudo-diverticulum. The congenital type, rare, is composed of all bowel wall layers. An example is the Meckel’s diverticulum. We have not encountered any congenital diverticulum in our series nor found any reported case in the literature. All patients included in our series had an acquired or false diverticulum consisting of mucosa and submucosa herniated through the muscular layer (fig. 11). They are typically small, less than 5 mm in size, sometimes multiple, and typically located in the distal third of the appendix along the meso (fig. 12).

The lumen contains fecal material, mucus, or even pus when complicated by suppuration. The mucosa often is atrophied and may become ulcerated when complicated by diverticulitis or replaced by a fibrous shell when complicated by suppuration (fig. 14a). The pa-

Fig. 12: Simple mesenteric diverticulum. Transverse view, distal third, low magnification – 1 diverticular mucosa – 2 meso – 3. thickened muscular layer.

Fig. 12 : Diverticule simple mésentérique. Coupe transversale, tiers distal, faible grossissement – 1. muqueuse diverticulaire – 2. méso – 3. musculeuse hypertrophiée.

Fig. 13: Early mesenteric diverticulitis. Transverse view, distal third, medium magnification – 1. ulceration of the mucosa – 2. atrophied mucosa – 3. fibrosis – >= disruption of the muscular layer.

Fig. 13 : Diverticulite mésentérique débutante. Coupe transversale, tiers distal, moyen grossissement – 1. ulcération de la muqueuse – 2. muqueuse atrophiée – 3. fibrose – >= interruption de la musculeuse.

Fig. 14: Complicated diverticulum, abscess into the meso. Transverse view, distal third, low magnification. 1. inflammatory infiltration of the meso – 2. node 3. enlarged vessels – 4. thickened muscular layer – <= wall of the abscess.

Fig. 14 : Diverticule compliqué, abcès dans le méso. Coupe transversale, tiers distal, faible grossissement – 1. infiltration inflammatoire du méso – 2. ganglion – 3. vaisseaux congestifs – 4. musculeuse hypertrophiée – <= coque de l’abcès.

Fig. 14 : a Complicated diverticulum, abscess into the meso. Transverse view, distal third, low magnification. 1. inflammatory infiltration of the meso – 2. node 3. enlarged vessels – 4. thickened muscular layer – <= wall of the abscess.

Fig. 14 : b Abscess into the meso. Transverse view, distal third – 1. abscess – 2. nodes – 3. inflammatory meso – thickened muscular layer (cf fig. 7).

Fig. 14 : a Diverticule compliqué, abcès dans le méso. Coupe transversale, tiers distal, faible grossissement – 1. infiltration inflammatoire du méso – 2. ganglion – 3. vaisseaux congestifs – 4. musculeuse hypertrophiée – <= coque de l’abcès.

Fig. 14 : b Abscès collecté dans le méso. Coupe transversale, tiers distal – 1. abcès – 2. ganglions – 3. méso inflammatoire – * musculeuse hypertrophiée (cf fig. 7).
Theogenesis of appendiceal diverticula is not completely elucidated. Several theories have been proposed, some contradictory. One, based on mechanical principles, seems more attractive. It suggests a mechanism of increased pressure against a focus of weakness. The widened vascular cleft in the muscular layer is the site of weakness. Herniation of mucosa through the cleft could be the result of increased intraluminal pressure secondary to obstruction or excessive contraction of a hypertrophied muscular layer. The appendix would be presented as a structure composed of two concentric tubes: inner tube (mucosal and submucosal layers) and outer (muscular and serosal layers). Contractions of the muscular layer would cause the inner tube, now relatively too long, to herniate through the abnormally widened vascular cleft. This theory share similarities with the theory explaining colonic diverticulosis. Some authors suggest that the site of weakness in the muscular layer would be the result of a past episode of appendicitis (17). Chronic appendicitis, cystic fibrosis, male gender, and age above 30 years are risks factors described in the literature (4, 12).

When the diverticulum is detected at the stage of inflammation or complications, additional abnormalities involve the appendiceal wall. Eosinophils infiltrate the submucosa indicating a chronic inflammatory process. The serosa is thickened in association with an inflammatory process.
infiltrate. This appearance is described as granulomatous peri-appendicitis (fig. 20) secondary to repeated episodes of peri-diverticulitis. Pseudo-membranes may be present. In spite of the extent of peri-appendiceal inflammatory changes, very little changes are present at the mucosal level. The fibrosis and peri-appendicitis cause the appendix to appear firm and rigid. This appearance is different from that of the garden-variety acute appendicitis where most changes involve the mucosa and submucosa. Diverticular pathology of the appendix has been classified by many into four morphological types (11, 16): Type 1 is characterized by diverticulitis and normal appendix, type 2 by diverticulitis and appendicitis, type 3 by simple uncomplicated diverticulum and acute appendicitis, and type 4 by a normal appendix and incidental simple uncomplicated diverticulum. Only types 2 and 3 were noted in our series. The appendiceal wall was never normal and always appeared thickened.

Ultrasound

The appendix is attached to the cecal pole, about 2 cm below the level of the ileocecal valve, a reliable landmark at US. It is tubular on longitudinal images and rounded on transverse images and characterized by its well-defined layered appearance. It is soft and compressible (fig. 21) and blind ending. Peristalsis is not perceptible. The lumen may be virtual or correspond to a thin echogenic line or be distended and filled with echogenic material (feces) or echogenic material with acoustic shadowing (gas or appendicolith). The latter may increase the overall diameter of the appendix. This is why it is preferable to use the single wall thickness to describe the appendix (normal < 3 mm). The normal layered appearance of the appendix should be visible on both longitudinal and transverse images. From inside out, four separate layers are visible (fig. 22):

- The mucosa and lymphoid tissue form a hypoechoic layer, usually less than 1 mm thick except in children where it may be thicker due to lymphoid hyperplasia.
- The submucosa corresponds to a homogeneous echogenic band, usually less than 1 mm thick.
- The muscular layer corresponds to a thin and regular hypoechoic line, 0.5 to 0.7 mm thick.
- The serosa and adjacent fat interface form a regular echogenic line. It may not always be visible. The meso-appendix, similar to the greater omentum, can be recognized in obese patients as a relatively hypoechoic band of tissue surrounded by a thin echogenic line (serosal interface).

In our series, similar to findings at histology, diverticula were always associated with an abnormal appearing appendix. The lumen may be narrowed or absent due to replacement of the mucosa (fig. 5, 6) by fibrosis (obliterating chronic appendicitis). The lumen may also be distended by hypoechoic retained secretions (fig. 23) distal to an obstruction. The wall may be focally or diffusely thickened, sometimes 4 to 6 mm in thickness. The thickening mainly involves the submucosal and muscular layers, with atrophied mucosa and frequently absent lymphoid tissue (fig. 4). The firm and rigid nature of the appendix is illustrated by the indentation of adjacent structures, best displayed with compression (fig. 24). Generally, no signal is present in the submucosa at power Doppler. The similarity of findings with low power microscopy confirms the excellent spatial resolution of US. Knowledge of these US features is useful since their identification facilitates the detection of the diverticulum. Usually, evaluation of the appendix begins at its...
Fig. 22: Normal tip of the appendix. Sagittal view. 1 mucosa – 2 submucosa – 3 muscular layer – effusion – * meso.

Fig. 22 : Pointe appendiculaire normale. Coupe sagittale. 1 muqueuse – 2 sous-muqueuse – 3 musculeuse – 4 épanchement liquide – * méso.

Fig. 23: Mucocele. Distal third, endovaginal view – 1. enlarged mucus-filled appendicular lumen – 2. obstruction.

Fig. 23 : Mucocèle. Tiers distal, voie endovaginale – 1. lumière appendiculaire distendue : mucus – 2. obstruction.

Fig. 24: Firm non-compressible appendix. Transverse view, middle third – 1. thickened muscular layer. – 2. thickened sub-mucosa – 3. mass effect on the psoas muscle – 4. mass effect on the oblique muscles.


Fig. 25: Obliterating chronic appendicitis. Transverse view – distal third – > hyperechoic nodule – * disruption of the muscular layer (cf fig. 2).

Fig. 25 : Appendicite chronique oblitérante. Coupe transversale, tiers distal – > nodule hyperéchogène – * interruption de la musculeuse (cf fig. 2).

Fig. 26: Multiple diverticulum. Longitudinal view, distal third. * two hypoechoic diverticula – < one distal hyperechoic diverticulum.

Fig. 26 : Diverticules multiples. Coupe longitudinale de la pointe. * deux diverticules hypoechogènes – < un diverticule distal hyper-échogène.

Base after identification of the ileocecal valve. The tip of the appendix, site of most diverticula in our series, is frequently visible only during graded compression. The presence of luminal obliteration at the base or mid portion of the appendix should raise the suspicion of distal diverticulum.

The imaging diagnosis of diverticulum of the appendix was first described at barium enema in 1926 (15). This technique did not provide any understanding of the underlying pathophysiology nor could it suggest its pathological nature. Publications on the features of appendiceal diverticula at cross sectional imaging are rare and mainly limited to case reports. The US features were first reported 15 years ago by Skaane et al. (16) and described as a target lesion with hypoechoic center and echogenic periphery, a non-specific appearance given the limited spatial resolution of US units available at that time. Some authors report that preoperative diagnosis cannot be achieved with US and CT (10). However, the US imaging features of 4 cases were described by Rioux (14) in 1995. Our results, with pathological correlation, further support that US diagnosis can be achieved.

The diverticulum usually presents as a well-defined hypoechoic (fig. 1) rounded (fig. 2) or oval-shaped nodular lesion abutting the muscular layer of the appendix. Rarely, in patients with chronic appendicitis, the diverticulum may be echogenic (fig. 25) due to its echogenic submucosa component (9). Under optimal imaging conditions, the neck of the diverticulum, echogenic and thin, may be identified at the level of the vascular cleft. The diverticulum is usually small, less...
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Diverticulitis of the appendix may extend into the meso and be hypochoic bands of variable thickness. The muscular layer is replaced by irregular thickened and the normal hypoechoic to the inflamed diverticulum becomes hypochoic and hypervascularized. The wall of the appendix next to the inflamed diverticulum is thickened. power Doppler imaging is at the site of acute inflammation. Peri-appendicitis can be identified. Generally, the abscess is larger than the original diverticulum. The abscess is slightly hypoechoic with increased through transmission. The wall of the diverticulum has been replaced by an inflammatory fibrous cap with irregular margins that is sometimes visible at power Doppler. The hypoechoic exudate and fat necrosis involves the surrounding chogenic fat. When acute appendicitis is also present, the relationship of the diverticulum with the meso-appendix is lost at the stage of acute inflammation. Power Doppler shows increased vascularity in the submucosa. Signs of peri-appendicitis are also more advanced. Abscesses usually form within the meso-appendix. Generally, the abscess is larger than the original diverticulum. The abscess is slightly hypoechoic with increased through transmission.

In our experience, the perceptual detection rate is only 12.5%.

It is not our purpose here to make recommendations with regards to management, but it would seem appropriate that symptomatic patients with acute abdomen or recurrent atypical right lower quadrant abdominal pain should undergo surgery. In our series, patients with recurrent atypical right lower quadrant abdominal pain were pain free after surgery. Four out of 5 asymptomatic patients in our series did not undergo surgery. It is not possible to draw any conclusion with regards to the value of prophylactic appendectomy in this subgroup of patients because of the small number of subjects in our series, insufficient follow-up, and unavailable follow-up in two cases. A few facts must nonetheless be remembered. Diverticula develop on abnormal appendices. They develop through weakness points of the muscular layer. Usually, US is unable to establish the relationship between the diverticulum and meso. The patient should be informed of the increased

Treatment and Complications

The suggested management varies between authors. Early appendectomy is recommended for asymptomatic patients, i.e. patients with appendical diverticulitis. Some authors recommend elective appendectomy, even for asymptomatic patients, since 2 out of 3 patients will experience an acute episode. Others, less aggressive, only recommend elective appendectomy when a diverticulum is incidentally discovered at the time of an unrelated surgical procedure. This approach is restrictive since only large diverticula are easily visible. Jean et al. reports that correlation of the diverticulum with the meso-appendix is lost at the stage of acute inflammation. The appearance is thus similar to severe appendicitis. Two scenarios are possible: free and contained perforation. Free perforation leads to generalized peritonitis with small amount of echogenic ascites and ileus. The appendix is not always visible. Contained perforation leads to focal peritonitis; pus is contained by peritoneal fat and isolated from the remainder of the peritoneal cavity. The collection may be echogenic and contain fecaliths.

Treatment and Complications

The suggested management varies between authors. Early appendectomy is recommended for symptomatic patients, i.e. patients with appendical diverticulitis. Some authors recommend elective appendectomy, even for asymptomatic patients, since 2 out of 3 patients will experience an acute episode. Others, less aggressive, only recommend elective appendectomy when a diverticulum is incidentally discovered at the time of an unrelated surgical procedure. This approach is restrictive since only large diverticula are easily visible. Jean et al. reports that correlation of the diverticulum with the meso-appendix is lost at the stage of acute inflammation. The appearance is thus similar to severe appendicitis. Two scenarios are possible: free and contained perforation. Free perforation leads to generalized peritonitis with small amount of echogenic ascites and ileus. The appendix is not always visible. Contained perforation leads to focal peritonitis; pus is contained by peritoneal fat and isolated from the remainder of the peritoneal cavity. The collection may be echogenic and contain fecaliths.

The suggested management varies between authors. Early appendectomy is recommended for symptomatic patients, i.e. patients with appendical diverticulitis. Some authors recommend elective appendectomy, even for asymptomatic patients, since 2 out of 3 patients will experience an acute episode. Others, less aggressive, only recommend elective appendectomy when a diverticulum is incidentally discovered at the time of an unrelated surgical procedure. This approach is restrictive since only large diverticula are easily visible. Jean et al. reports that correlation of the diverticulum with the meso-appendix is lost at the stage of acute inflammation. The appearance is thus similar to severe appendicitis. Two scenarios are possible: free and contained perforation. Free perforation leads to generalized peritonitis with small amount of echogenic ascites and ileus. The appendix is not always visible. Contained perforation leads to focal peritonitis; pus is contained by peritoneal fat and isolated from the remainder of the peritoneal cavity. The collection may be echogenic and contain fecaliths.
risk of perforation, a complication well described in the literature. Lipton et al. (11) state that perforation, with an increased mortality rate, would be more frequent than in cases of acute appendicitis. These facts might favor elective appendectomy.

**Conclusion**

We agree with Rioux (14) that appendiceal diverticula are acquired. Its incidence is underestimated due to three main factors: not well known by sonographers, too small for peroperative detection, and sometimes overlooked at histology. It most frequently involves the distal third of the appendix. It is always associated with changes of chronic appendicitis, such that we believe that it may be due to chronic appendicitis. Its presentation shares similarities with colonic diverticulosis. Surgery is required in patients with acutely complicated appendiceal diverticulosis and probably preferable otherwise. It is associated with recurrent episodes of acute abdominal pain and with a four-fold increase in the risk of perforation. Because of its excellent spatial resolution, US seems most appropriate for diagnosis. US allows detection of the diverticulum, its complications and associated abnormalities of the appendix. US also contributes to the differential diagnosis and may prevent necessary surgical management.
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