Combined fissurectomy and botulinum toxin injection
A new therapeutic approach for chronic anal fissures
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Introduction

Anal fissures are tears or splits in the squamous epithelium of the anus just distal to the dentate line. The majority of anal fissures are found in the posterior midline with up to 13% in the anterior midline [1]. Acute and chronic anal fissures are differentiated chronologically and morphologically. Most surgeons define a fissure as chronic when it persists beyond six weeks and healing with conservative treatment seems unlikely [2]. Morphologically, chronic anal fissures, as opposed to acute fissures, are characterized by hypertrophy of the anal papilla, a sentinel skin tag, rolled skin edges and exposed internal sphincter muscle.

Patients with acute anal fissure rarely present to the surgeon, because they respond to conservative treatment for up to 90% [3]. The treatment of chronic anal fissures is still challenging. Conservative treatment with topical nitrates or calcium channel blockers is often dissatisfying. On the other hand, surgical treatment such as lateral internal sphincterotomy is accompanied with considerable postoperative incontinence. Temporary "chemical sphincterotomy" with botulinum toxin (botox) alone can achieve healing rates of 65% in chronic fissures; however, these results are not uniform [4]. Recently, botox has been combined with fissurectomy achieving healing rates for chronic fissures in up to 90% [5–7].

The aims of this point of view are to discuss indications, contraindications, technical aspects and the efficacy of botox treatment in combination with fissurectomy for chronic anal fissures. The therapy of acute fissures and the numerous other treatment strategies and practice para-
flow\[13\]. The combined concept of sphincter spasm and the posterior commissure and reduces the anodermal blood produces sustained tonic rise in anal canal pressure and may effect of anal fissures. It is known that psychological stress were the question, whether sphincter spasm is the cause or sphincter spasm and reduced anal blood flow does not ans-

sures in fissure patients with values above 90 mmHg\[13\].

Aetiology and pathogenesis of anal fissures

Traditionally, an anal fissure was thought to be due to constipa-
tion and passage of hard stool. But, history of constipation is elicited in fewer than 25% of patients with chronic anal fissures. Also, diarrhea is known as a predisposing factor in up to 7% of patients \[8\]. Today, an increased tonicity of the internal sphincter is blamed for anal fissures. Tonic contraction of the internal anal sphincter is mediated by sympathetic innervations, which stays in state of partial contraction and relaxation in response to rectal distension. Several reports have documented elevated resting anal pressures in fissure patients with values above 90 mmHg \[13\]. Additionally, the elevated anal pressure causes ischemia of the posterior commissure and reduces the anodermal blood flow \[13\]. The combined concept of sphincter spasm and reduced anodermal blood flow not only explains how surgical disruption of the internal anal sphincter allows the fissure to heal (anal pressures decreases with a rise of anal blood flow), but also gives the explanation on how botox works as treatment of anal fissures: botox relaxes the internal anal sphincter when injected into the muscle by causing temporary synaptic blockade and reversible denervation. This leads to a decrease of the anal pressure allowing the fissure to heal. The effect of botox is similar to surgical sphincterotomy without the disadvantage of an anatomical disruption of the internal anal sphincter.

Non-surgical treatment: the role of botox

Several studies have shown the efficacy of botox in non-
surgical treatment of chronic anal fissures \[3,4,17,18\]. Maria et al. reported a higher healing rate compared to placebo (73% versus 13%) \[19\]. Botox reduces the anal resting pressure, an effect that persists for two to three months. Side-effects are transient incontinence for air in up to 10% \[19—21\] and for stool in up to 5% of patients \[22\]. Recurrence rates vary between 10 and 55% \[20,21\]. But note that, the use of botox is not without risk. Beside temporary incontinence for air and stool, other side-effects are reported, including necrotizing fasciitis, generalized muscular weakness, perianal thrombosis and the possibility of antibody production \[13\].

A recent meta-analysis \[18\] included three randomized controlled studies, which compared glyceryl trinitrate (GTN) and botox for chronic anal fissures. Botox was as effective as GTN, but associated with fewer side-effects showing a healing rate of 80%. But the main shortcomings of this analysis were the short follow-up of two months in one and the not reported recurrence rate in two of the included studies. The authors concluded that botox could be recommended as first-line treatment in patients with chronic anal fissures. However, they also stated that stronger evidence is needed to definitively support this treatment strategy because only three trials done on 180 patients were qualified for the meta-analysis. A Cochrane review showed no healing advantage of botox over placebo but noted the statistical heterogeneity and generally short follow-up of studies \[23\].

The current recommendation of the American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons states that botox injections may be used for chronic anal fissures that fail to respond to conservative measures \[9\] (evidence level II, recommendation B). However, there was no consensus on dosage, precise site of administration (internal anal sphincter, external anal sphincter, or intersphincteric space) and number of injections.

Botox in the context of surgical treatments

For refractory anal fissures, surgery is still the treatment of choice. Among the different surgical techniques, lateral internal sphincterotomy has shown the best healing and the lowest recurrence rates in different trials and meta-
analyses \[3,17,23\]. However, there is a considerable risk for incontinence. Incontinence rates in the literature vary between 0 and 36% for gas, 0 and 21% for liquid stool and 0 and 5% for solid stool \[2\]. Today, it is known that faecal incontinence may occur many years after sphincter damage such as from complicated delivery or anal surgery \[24\]. For that reason, incontinence after lateral internal sphincterotomy has probably been underestimated \[25\]. A Cochrane review \[23\], including three trials on 235 patients, showed that lateral internal sphincterotomy compared to botox had superior healing rates (94% versus 67%). But botox caused no incontinence compared to lateral internal sphincterotomy (0% versus 11%) in two trials including 191 patients. Another recent meta-analysis \[17\], including four studies on 279 patients, concluded that surgical sphincterotomy and botox were comparable. Surgical sphincterotomy had a higher hea-
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Figure 1  Surgical excision of fissure edges and sentinel skin tag. Triangle shape of excision facilitates wound drainage.
Résection chirurgicale des berges de la fissure avec sa marisque sentinelle. La forme triangulaire de l’excision favorise le drainage de la plaie.

Fissurectomies with removal of the fibrotic edges of the fissure and resection of anal papilla and the skin tag, respectively (Fig. 1), have been successfully applied as alternative for lateral internal sphincterotomy in the treatment of chronic anal fissures in pediatric studies [26]. The concept of fissurectomy, in combination with reversible chemical sphincterotomy (or botox), was first introduced by Engel et al. in 2002 [27]. The fissurectomy, as wound debridement, supports wound healing and botox injection (Fig. 2), decreases the tonus of the internal anal sphincter temporary leading to an increased anal blood flow. We have recently demonstrated that this technique is safe, may be done in an outpatient setting and may achieve healing rates of 79% after one year with a recurrence rate of 9%. We observed no incontinence in these patients [6]. These results were in accordance with Lindsey et al. [7] who described a healing rate of 93% after 16 weeks and transitory flatus incontinence of 7%. Sileri et al. [5] compared different treatment strategies and described a healing rate of 82% with a follow-up for 19 months without recurrences for fissurectomy combined with botox. Incontinence for flatus was described in 4.5% of patients.

Conclusions

The significance of botox in the treatment of chronic anal fissures is still puzzling. Data in the literature are inconsistent and final conclusions cannot be drawn. From our point of view, botox will not replace surgical treatment for refractory anal fissures. Also, current data do not provide evidence for a clear benefit for the patient as non-surgical first-line treatment not justifying the high costs of botox treatment (100 U Botox®, Allergan costs $260 e). Fissurectomy and botox injection, however, treats both main causes of chronicity of anal fissures, the presence of bradytrophic scar tissue and the high resting anal pressure. This combined treatment strategy is very promising for the treatment of chronic anal fissures with a high success rate and a low morbidity and most importantly with very little risk of incontinence.
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