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Femoral deficiency reconstruction using a hydroxyapatite-coated locked modular stem. A series of 43 total hip revisions
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Summary

Introduction. — We report a continuous prospective series of patients operated on for total hip prosthesis femoral component loosening involving a bone defect. Reconstruction was performed using a hydroxyapatite-coated locked modular stem. The study’s objective was to assess medium term clinical and X-ray results obtained with this original concept.

Materials and methods. — The patients included received a REEF\textsuperscript{®} (DePuy) femoral implant for aseptic loosening or loosening associated with a periprosthetic fracture. Implantation was systematically accompanied by an extended trochanteric osteotomy (ETO). Patients were followed up prospectively by clinical and X-ray examination. Their loosening was graded at inclusion according to Vives’ classification as revised by SOFCOT in 1999. Analysis focused on actuarial implant survivorship, dislocation and the bone/implant interface.

Results. — Forty-three hips were included: mean follow-up was 58.2 months (12–92) and mean age at surgery was 72.4 years (37–94). The main indications were severe bone loss rated grade III (n = 15) or IV (n = 16) according to the SOFCOT classification. There was one long-term failure, involving implant fracture secondary to nonunion of the femoral shaft. Mean Postel and Merle d’Aubigné (PMA) clinical assessment score increased from six preoperatively to 14.5 at end of follow-up. X-ray analysis found no stem migration by end of follow-up. There was consistent consolidation of the ETO around the stem, except in one case of stem fracture which evolved into tight nonunion. In terms of metaphyseal integration, five patients showed radiolucency without evolution over follow-up, and eight had severe calcar cortical atrophy at end of follow-up. Mean 5-year actuarial survivorship was 97.7 ± 2.3%, with a 2% incidence of dislocation.

Discussion. — The complications rate was low, and results were comparable with those reported in the literature. The study confirmed the interest of the extended trochanteric osteotomy exposure and the effectiveness of the hydroxyapatite-coated interlocked modular stem concept.
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Introduction

Total hip replacement revision for aseptic loosening is frequently performed but may induce difficulties related to the approach to remove the former implants and the incidence and severity of bone loss. The literature describes various reconstruction techniques, with variable outcome: cemented implants [1,2], allograft [3,4], and cementless implants, with or without hydroxypatite coating [5,6,7]. As the ongrowth potential of hydroxypatite coatings depends on both bone interface quality and on implant stability, certain authors recommend distal interlocking [8,9].

Our department has been using the REEF® (DePuy) femoral revision implant since April 1999 for hip prostheses revision in case of severe femoral bone loss. This is a modular, cementless implant, entirely coated in hydroxypatite, which is available in two heights and is positioned in free anteversion. The metaphyseal-diaphyseal stem is cylindrical and anatomical (sagittal curve), with vertical macrostructures and between one and three holes for distal interlocking. The implant concept is based on primary diaphyseal fixation by interlocking as a prelude to secondary fixation by osseointegration, initial axial and rotational stability being provided by the distal interlock.

All patients were operated on in the same institution, using the same technique, although by different senior surgeons. All acetabular reconstructions included a dual-mobility cup to reduce the risk of postoperative dislocation. Preoperative planning allowed the sizes of all necessary components to be estimated, which required frontal pelvic X-ray and frontal X-ray graphs of both femurs. The proximal interlocking hole should ideally be positioned at least 5 cm under the distal aspect of ETO to ensure stability.

The approach was systematically posterolateral, associated to an extensive trochanteric osteotomy (ETO) performed to make easier implant and cement removal. This bony approach had to conserve bone vascularization while enabling the entire loose implant, cement and granuloma to be removed. A hole was bored in the diaphyseal shaft and the stem introduced into the femoral canal. This enabled the neck to be tested for length and anteversion to adjust the definitive implant. The metaphyseal-diaphyseal stem was then impacted to the level determined by these tests. Interlocking was performed using a sighting frame. After another check on length and anteversion, the trochanter base plate was fixed onto the stem by a Morse taper and connection screw. Femoral bone reconstruction was continued around the prosthetic support by fixing the femoral flange of ETO using wiring to hold the receptor bone flush against the HA-treated implant surface. There were no femoral bone grafts. The postoperative rehabilitation protocol imposed 45 days’ non-weight-bearing, followed by gradual resumption of weight-bearing with use of two canes until the third month.

Follow-up

In this prospective study, patients were followed up clinically and radiologically at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and then annually. All included patients were assessed by Merle d’Aubigné (PMA) scoring [11], preoperatively and at final follow-up, except in case of periprosthetic fracture, where the PMA score was estimated retrospectively from preoperative clinical observations.

Frontal pelvis and frontal and lateral hip and femur X-rays were taken at each consultation.

At inclusion, femoral loosening was assessed on Vives’ classification [10] as modified by SOFCOT in 1999 [12]; this is a reproducible classification which is more complete than that of Paprosky [13] and avoided resorting to Bethea et al.’s classification [14] for periprosthetic fractures. Loss of acetabular substance was assessed on the SOFCOT classification [10].
During follow-up, the bone/implant interface was analyzed by Gruen zone [15], studying edges, reactive lines, pedestals, osteolyses and calcar femoral reactions to determine metaphyseal and diaphyseal osseointegration. Heterotopic ossifications were classified according to Brooker et al. [16].

Femoral component migration, considered significant when greater than 5 mm, was determined with respect to a fixed landmark on each stem: either the lesser trochanter, when present (n = 14), or else the wiring (n = 29). X-ray monitoring further allowed analysis of ETO consolidation, and of any femoral stress shielding, whether or not associated with thigh pain [17].

Statistical analysis

A Student t test for matched series was performed for each patient’s preoperative and end-of-follow-up status, with a significance threshold set at p ≤ 0.001. End-of-follow-up femoral implant survivorship was analyzed actuarially [18] (CI > 95%), with failure defined as reintervention for aseptic femoral stem loosening.

Dislocation at end of follow-up was studied by recording all incidents of implant dislocation.

Results

Population

Over the study period, 162 femoral revisions were performed in the department. Hundred and five involved KAR® (DePuy) hydroxyapatite-coated cementless straight stems, and the other 57 REEF® stems. Finally, 43 patients (= 43 REEF® stems) osteonecrosis (ten male; mean follow-up was 58.2 months (12—92).

Of 12 repeat implantations secondary to deep infection and of 12 repeat implantations secondary to deep infection and two hip replacements secondary to tumor.

Population

1,151 patients were treated for one or several femoral shaft fractures managed orthopedically; consolidation was incomplete, leaving tight malunion without functional impact; there was one fracture of the greater trochanter secondary to a fall, requiring surgical revision to reduce and set the fracture by a trochanteric claw plate; there was one fatigue fracture of the stem at 2 years, with one associated fracture, nine grade II with three associated fractures, 15 grade III with three associated fractures, and 16 grade IV with eight associated fractures. Peroperative reassessment in some cases changed the preoperative grade: five grade II cases became grade III and eight grade III cases became grade IV following femoral shaft preparation and former implant removal.

Peroperative complications

There were two peroperative complications: one case of sciatic palsy, with complete recovery at 1 year; and one supracondylyar fracture sustained during arthroplasty resection and fixed by blade-plate that healed but required subsequent ablation of the plate.

Early and late postoperative complications

There were nine early and late postoperative complications:

- one patient had prosthesis dislocation at 2 months, requiring reintervention to adjust the position and length of the bearing components; at end of follow-up, there remained 2% dislocation;
- there were three cases of deep infection. Two infected hips were treated by early joint irrigation and exchange of the bearing components; both patients received parenteral antibiotics adapted to the sensitivity of bacteria detected in the peroperative samples for three weeks, followed by 3 months’ p.o. and i.v. antibiotics for 6 weeks followed by 6 weeks’ oral antibiotics before a new REEF® (DePuy) stem could be implanted; at 18 months, there had been no recurrence.
- one patient, with cup dislocation secondary to a fall at 1 month, had simple acetabular revision without sequelae;
- one patient had aseptic loosening of a Bousquet’s screwed stem with a badly positioned base plate which could not be removed, requiring an ETO for extraction. Revision concerned cemented implants in 17 cases and cementless implants in 26. The intervention was the first arthroplasty revision in 19 cases, the second in 14, and the third or more in ten. In 26 cases (60%), revision was bipolar.
- in terms of acetabular bone loss and type of cup used for revision, 12 grade-II cases were managed with a cementless Sunfit® or Novae E® (SERF) megacup, eight grade-III cases by allograft and a Stick® (SERF) cup cemented in a Kerboull reinforcement device, six grade-IV cases by allograft and a Stick® (SERF) cup cemented into a customized SERF support ring.
- in terms of femoral bone loss, according to SOFCOT 1999 score there were: one grade 0 (screwed stem), two grade I with one associated fracture, nine grade II with three associated fractures, 15 grade III with three associated fractures, and 16 grade IV with eight associated fractures. Peroperative reassessment in some cases changed the preoperative grade: five grade II cases became grade III and eight grade III cases became grade IV following femoral shaft preparation and former implant removal.

Statistical analysis

A Student t test for matched series was performed for each patient’s preoperative and end-of-follow-up status, with a significance threshold set at p ≤ 0.001. End-of-follow-up femoral implant survivorship was analyzed actuarially [18] (CI > 95%), with failure defined as reintervention for aseptic femoral stem loosening.

Dislocation at end of follow-up was studied by recording all incidents of implant dislocation.

Results

Population

Over the study period, 162 femoral revisions were performed in the department. Hundred and five involved KAR® (DePuy) hydroxyapatite-coated cementless straight stems, and the other 57 REEF® stems. Finally, 43 patients (= 43 REEF® stems) osteonecrosis (ten male; mean follow-up was 58.2 months (12—92).

Of 12 repeat implantations secondary to deep infection and of 12 repeat implantations secondary to deep infection and two hip replacements secondary to tumor.

Population

1,151 patients were treated for one or several femoral shaft fractures managed orthopedically; consolidation was incomplete, leaving tight malunion without functional impact; there was one fracture of the greater trochanter secondary to a fall, requiring surgical revision to reduce and set the fracture by a trochanteric claw plate; there was one fatigue fracture of the stem at 2 years, with one associated fracture, nine grade II with three associated fractures, 15 grade III with three associated fractures, and 16 grade IV with eight associated fractures. Peroperative reassessment in some cases changed the preoperative grade: five grade II cases became grade III and eight grade III cases became grade IV following femoral shaft preparation and former implant removal.

Peroperative complications

There were two peroperative complications: one case of sciatic palsy, with complete recovery at 1 year; and one supracondylyar fracture sustained during arthroplasty resection and fixed by blade-plate that healed but required subsequent ablation of the plate.

Early and late postoperative complications

There were nine early and late postoperative complications:

- one patient had prosthesis dislocation at 2 months, requiring reintervention to adjust the position and length of the bearing components; at end of follow-up, there remained 2% dislocation;
- there were three cases of deep infection. Two infected hips were treated by early joint irrigation and exchange of the bearing components; both patients received parenteral antibiotics adapted to the sensitivity of bacteria detected in the peroperative samples for three weeks, followed by 3 months’ p.o. and i.v. antibiotics for 6 weeks followed by 6 weeks’ oral antibiotics before a new REEF® (DePuy) stem could be implanted; at 18 months, there had been no recurrence.
- one patient, with cup dislocation secondary to a fall at 1 month, had simple acetabular revision without sequelae;
- there was one fracture of the lateral cortex of the ETO without dislocation, secondary to a fall at 6 months, managed orthopedically; consolidation was incomplete, leaving tight malunion without functional impact;
- there was one fracture of the greater trochanter secondary to a fall, requiring surgical revision to reduce and set the fracture by a trochanteric claw plate;
- there was one fatigue fracture of the stem at 2 years, with nonunion at the fracture site (Fig. 1); this late complication was managed in a different institution by plate osteosynthesis and graft, allowing consolidation without replacing the implant, which showed perfect fixation. This last case was considered as a failure, explained by occult femoral nonunion; this represents a 2% rate of aseptic loosening at end of follow-up for the series as a whole;
- there was one periprosthetic fracture without loosening at 3 years, managed by plate osteosynthesis.
Clinical results

Seventeen patients had died by end of follow-up; nine were more than 80 years old, and showed loosening associated with femoral fracture. The prosthesis was in place at the time of death in all 17 cases; the PMA score recorded was that obtained at the last follow-up consultation. The mean PMA score for the series was six preoperatively and 14.5 at end of follow-up ($p < 0.001$; see Table 1). The most significant gains on PMA components were for the pain and mobility scores, which respectively rose from 1.2 to 5.5 and from 2.7 to 5.2 (Table 1). At end of follow-up, there were four cases of thigh pain, not associated with any stress shielding or radiolucency abnormality on X-ray. There were no cases of pain around the distal interlocking screw.

X-ray results

X-ray analysis (see Figs. 2–4) found no significant stem migration (>5 mm) at end of follow-up. The ETO was
consistently consolidated around the stem except in one case of stem fracture evolving to tight nonunion. Analyzing metaphyseal osseointegration found five cases of radiolucency in Gruen zones one, two, seven and 14 with no evolution over follow-up, and eight cases of severe calcar atrophy. There was no stress shielding or equivalent phenomenon at end of follow-up, indicating good secondary implant osseointegration. There were no screw fractures. At end of follow-up, one hip showed grade IV ossification, four grade III, nine grade II and 14 grade I.

**Statistical results**

Five-year actuarial implant survivorship with failure defined by surgical revision for aseptic femoral implant loosening was $97.7\% \pm 2.3\%$ (CI > 95%). With one case of dislocation at end of follow-up, the final dislocation rate was 2%.

**Discussion**

The present series confirmed the findings of Vidalain [19] that the REEF® (DePuy) implant provides an adapted solution

---

Figure 3  Management of periprosthetic fracture and rupture of a cementless revision stem. A. Bone loss rated Sofcot grade II. B. Favorable evolution at 5 years’ FU.

Figure 4  Management of peroperative periprosthetic fracture sustained during revision of a cementless stem. A. Pre-op X-ray, bone loss rated Sofcot grade I. B. Satisfactory check-up at 52 months, with consolidation of the extended trochanteric osteotomy.
for the most complex cases of femoral arthroplasty revision, thanks to its modular design, original interlocking system and its hydroxyapatite coating.

Interest of femoral osteotomy

We took the option of extended trochanteric osteotomy, with results indicating good flange consolidation, complete removal of cement and a reduction in the rate of peroperative complications (with just one peroperative fracture). This confirms Migaud et al.’s.[20] report to the 1999 SOFCOT Symposium on femoral revision of aseptic hip replacement that femoral osteotomy enabled complete extraction (95% vs 83% with an endofemoral approach) of cement and granuloma, thus avoiding distal cortical perforation and reducing the risk of peroperative fracture, especially in cases of SOFCOT grade III or IV [12] and gave consistent consolidation (≤ 1% nonunion vs 20% for trochanter osteotomy).

Thus, the ETO not only provides peroperative exposure but also has biological qualities, stimulating osteogenesis and thus metaphyseal reconstruction; it allows bone adaptation to the stem and, exceptionally, to adjust gluteus medius tension [21].

Interest of implant

We took the option of an interlocking implant, enhancing rotational stability and reducing distal stem migration. There was no significant stem migration at end of follow-up, and fixation was permanent in 98% of cases. This confirms Kim et al.’s[9] findings at a minimum 3 years’ FU with a surface-treated interlocked stem. Mahomed et al. [8] showed interlocking, by enhancing rotational stability by 320% and axial stability by 230%, to be effective against the rotational micromovements implicated by Harris et al. [22] and Chandler et al. [23] in femoral loosening.

Implant fixation was completed by the total hydroxyapatite coating, with only very little nonevolutive radiolucency. The coating has proved useful and reliable in primary hip replacement, and seems equally adapted and effective in revision, due to its osteoconductive properties.

The modularity is an important feature, enabling peroperative adaptation to bone damage which tends to be underestimated in the preoperative plan. Femoral length, offset and anteversion can be varied, and exposure is facilitated in case of isolated acetabulum revision [24]. It also ensures good filling of the metaphysis, thereby promoting lasting biological fixation [25].

Limitations of the technique

The approach by systematic femoral osteotomy increases surgery time and generally requires long implants so as to bridge the femoral flange.

Our follow-up was too short to draw any definitive conclusion as to the secondary fixation obtained with hydroxyapatite. Radiography was unable to confirm perfect osseointegration of the implant in the prepared bone. Careful study of the X-ray images revealed areas of trabeculae, indicating osseointegration; but little can be said about the areas without bone/implant contact. Secondary fixation was probably discrete and staggered, and certainly not continuous. A more long-term study would be needed to replicate Langlais et al.’s. [26] findings, with regard to metaphyseal regeneration following revision with hydroxyapatite-coated prostheses, of a 70% contact surface between the hydroxyapatite coating and the osseous neoformation, with cortical thickness growing over time.

Although we appreciate the implant’s modularity, this does raise the risk of fretting corrosion and thus of friction couple wear [27]. However, Christie et al. [28] recently reported comparable osteolysis rates in series using a modular cementless stem and in other arthroplasty revision series, with no complications associated with modularity as such. The medium-term follow-up in the present series does not warrant any conclusion as to osteolysis by fretting corrosion.

Alternatives recommended in the literature

The main alternative to the present technique as regards fixation is cementing, which has shown its limitations with high rates of recurrent loosening — 20% for Kempf et al. [1] on 5 years’ FU — although results were better using second-generation cements, with 11% recurrent loosening on 6 years’ FU. Finally, it has been shown that, in repeated cemented revision, the rate of repeated revision increases with the degree of bone damage [29]. Moreover, cement does not solve the problem of metaphyseal bone defect, even if a recent study did find enhanced bone capital on X-ray in 61% of cases, using a cemented modular stem [30].

Given such disappointing results, certain authors have recommended femoral reconstruction by allograft. The “Exeter” technique reported by Gie et al. [3] consists in cementing a standard stem in a bed of impacted cancellous graft; at 3 years’ FU, there was 21.4% stem distal migration in excess of 5 mm. On the other hand, two histological analyses of this impacted graft technique found 100% graft integration [31,32]. Migaud et al.’s.[4] results, on a series with 84% grade II–III bone loss on the SOFCOT classification, were both interesting and promising: at 83 months’ FU, there was just one case of recurrent loosening on X-ray (see Table 2). With the same technique on cadavers, Malkani et al. [33] studied rotational and axial implant stability, and reported immediate stability and recovery of proximal femoral integrity. Coupied and Postel [34] recommend bulk cortical allograft for femoral reconstruction, to restore bone capital and provide immediate mechanical reinforcement of the femur. At 4 years and 10 months’ FU, Charrois et al. [35] found only three cases of repeat loosening in 18 reconstructions, including 16 grade IV on the SOFCOT classification. There were, however, more complications (30% greater trochanter nonunion), and there are limitations to the use of such allografts: the need for a bone-tissue bank able to provide the requisite quality and quantity of graft, the slight but serious risk of recipient contamination, and the question of the long-term outcome for irradiated grafts.

Since 1986, Wagner [5] has been recommending a reconstruction stem based on the press-fit concept. It ensures good primary stability by elective diaphyseal fixation, irrespective of metaphyseal damage. However, although
medium-term results were good in terms of implant stability, Wagner’s stems have been found to cause thigh pain, sometimes associated with stress shielding and loss of bone capital. A recent medium-term (44 months) assessment of Wagner prostheses (see Table III) found a significant rate of claudication and dislocation due to the design (145° neck valgus) and frequently unequal lower-limb length due to distal migration which is difficult to control [36].

With the same aim of securing a primary anchorage in a healthy area, Vives et al. [37] developed the concept of a temporary long, smooth interlocked stem for use during metaphyseal reconstruction, to be followed by a standard implant to convert to the primary design. In point of fact, the initial assembly often proved reliable enough for secondary revision to be superfluous, and came to be considered as a definitive implant. Moreland et al. [38] demonstrated the clear superiority of their long, coated press-fit stem with diaphyseal anchorage compared to cemented stems [39,40,41] or stems with metaphyseal fixation [42,43] in terms of survivorship, but at the price of much more thigh pain and stress shielding than with the present interlocked stem.

Among all of these techniques or philosophies regarding a variety of kinds of femoral loosening, we opted for a concept combining the advantages of a femoral flange, distal interlocking and a hydroxyapatite-coated stem. Although the clinical results look good, it is difficult to demonstrate complete metaphyseal osseointegration with this kind of implant; thus, it is rather the incidence of negative signs, such as fracture, which indicates the success or failure of the method. Moreover, the magnitude of the surgical approach, and thus of the sequelae, as well as the complexity of adjusting the implant, are such as to focus indication exclusively on grade III and IV femoral loosening.

**Conclusion**

Although this prospective study has a short follow-up, femoral surgical revision with diaphyseal fixation by distal interlocking provided good quality primary rotational and axial stability, which is a prerequisite for secondary fixation. It represents a technique of choice in case of grade III or IV bone loss on the SOFCOT scale or of femoral fracture secondary to prosthetic loosening. The present series of 43 patients found the technique to be reliable and reproducible; patients recovered a functional and pain-free joint; and the femoral flange enhanced metaphyseal bone capital without grafting. The stem’s total hydroxyapatite coating doubtless contributed to the good results, with a low complications rate and just one failure. In this era of cementless first-intention treatment, this little developed but promising attitude requires confirmation over a long-term follow-up.
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