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Low back pain sufferers: Is standing postural balance facilitated by a lordotic lumbar brace?
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Summary

Introduction: The use of a lumbar lordosis orthotic device in the treatment of discogenic low back pain could be a valuable option and rehabilitation tool. The lumbar lordosis brace has been designed to meet these requirements and acts as a reminder to the patient to maintain a physiological lumbar lordosis curvature since it comprises a vertical panel on the chest and a curved rigid shell at the back. This lumbar lordosis brace exerts the necessary degree of compression in the lumbar region and achieves correction of the sagittal plane spine balance to improve postural control of the lumbar spine. Quantitative analysis of the centre of pressure (CoP) deviations, which are necessary to maintain the standing posture helps evaluate the impact of such device on postural balance.

Patients and methods: Eleven patients suffering from lumbar pain with discopathy (seven females and four males) had to stand on a force platform with their eyes closed under two basic conditions (fitted or not with a lumbar lordosis brace).

Results: On the antero-posterior axis, the lordosis brace achieved a 6 mm CP deviation from its mean position and a 51% reduction in the mean displacement prior to the initiation of the postural control mechanisms.

Discussion: The forces applied by the lumbar lordosis brace (through compression and/or change in the spinal sagittal balance) seem to improve the quality of the patient’s balance strategy. Posturography appears as a valuable tool for in situ investigation of the postural benefits achieved when using a thoracolumbosacral orthosis in patients suffering from lumbar pain.

Level of evidence Level IV.

© 2010 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.
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Eleven patients suffering from lumbar pain were involved in this experimentation: seven females and four males (44.3 ± 8.9 years; weight: 67.3 ± 13.2 kg; height: 1.70 ± 0.1 m; mean ± standard deviation). All these voluntary adults presented with a degenerative lumbar discopathy with no sign of acute pain.

Lumbar belt

The present study was conducted using the Lordactiv™ (Ormihl-Danet, Villeurbanne) lumbar brace (Fig. 1), which maintains physiological lordosis with means of a frontal vertical panel and a curved rigid shell at the back [25]. The textile part of the corset is made of polyamide, PE foam, cotton, elastane and elastodiene. The rigid back part as well as the front frame is made of polyethylene, aluminium, steel and stainless steel.

Protocol

The posturographic test was performed through two randomized conditions: without lumbar belt (control) and with Lordactiv™ lumbar belt. Patients had to stand on a static force platform (Equi+, PF02) with their arms placed along the body and their eyes closed, while trying to minimize their body displacements. Both feet were kept parallel to each other with a 35 mm distance between the internal borders of both malleoli. Four successive tests of 64 s each (64 Hz sampling) were performed with a recovery time of 64 s between each test.

Data processing

The CoP horizontal displacements were analysed regarding the surface covered by the displacement (ellipse with a 90% confidence interval) and the mean position relative to the medio-lateral (ML) and AP axes (more or less anterior and lateralized CoP position)

Analysis of the fractional Brownian motion (fBm) was used to determine the degree of control of CoP displacements (details of the calculation method and diagrams are shown in the appendix). This method revealed two distinct mechanisms successively involved in the control of CP displacements. In the first phase, during short time intervals, this trajectory tended to be far from the previous position, which is called persistent mechanism (shown by scale’s coefficient of short latency Hc). In the second phase, during longer time intervals, this trajectory tended to move closer...
to the previous position, which is called antipersistent mechanism (shown by scale’s coefficient of long latency [H_{ll}]). The mean time intervals (\( \Delta t \)) and the mean square displacements (\(< \Delta x^2 > \)) up to the transitional point between both phases helped determine spatiotemporal thresholds from which correction mechanisms were initiated.

### Statistical analysis

According to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (\( p < 0.05 \)), some data were not normally distributed. Therefore the non-parametric Wilcoxon test was used to reveal possible significant differences between these two conditions (\( p < 0.05 \)) for the whole retained parameters.

### Results

All the results are shown in a band-gap diagram in Fig. 2.

#### Mean centre of pressure position

With the lordosis belt, the mean CoP position on the AP axis was shifted about 6 mm posteriorly relative to the control condition (\( W = 52; p < 0.05 \)). No effect was found relative to the ML axis.

#### Surface covered by the centre of pressure displacements

The surface covered by the CoP displacements was not significantly reduced. However, a 37% decrease relative to the control condition was noted when using the lordosis belt (321 mm\(^2\) without lumbar belt versus 229 mm\(^2\) with lumbar belt).

#### Fractional Brownian motion analysis

The \( \Delta t \) helped determine the mean time interval between the time when the CoP is away from the reference position and the time of correction initiation. Patients wearing the lordosis belt revealed a significant 15% decrease in this time of correction relative to the AP axis in comparison with the control condition (\( W = 54; p < 0.05 \)).

The \(< \Delta x^2 >\) value corresponded to the CoP mean square displacement at the time of correction initiation. Wearing the lordosis belt induced a significant 51% decrease in the square displacement on the AP axis compared to the control condition (\( W = 60; p < 0.01 \)).

The \( H_{ll} \) representing the degree of anti-persistence of the CoP trajectory increased significantly by 6% compared to the control condition (\( W = 54; p < 0.05 \)). Moreover, no significant difference could be established regarding the \( H_{cl} \) representing the degree of persistence of the CoP trajectory. Finally, no significant statistical result relative to the ML axis could be found from the obtained data.

### Discussion

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effects of a lumbar lordosis belt on the postural parameters affected by lumbar pain. According to the results, the mean position of the CoP trajectory was slightly posterior when using the lordosis belt. In principle, such data could have explained the tendency towards reduction in the degree of displacement since effects correlated with body bending demonstrated high incidences on healthy subject balance ability [26]. However, despite statistically significant results, the degree of displacement is not high enough to explain the observed effects.

Therefore, it appears more relevant to seek for other reasons, which could account for the observed effects. The modification of control mechanisms along with reduction in the mean time intervals (\( \Delta t \)) and mean square displacements (\( \Delta x^2 \)) of transitional points appear as a more interesting track. It would mean that patients suffering from lumbar pain more rapidly correct their balance impairment when fitted with a lordosis belt. The main advantage of this strategy would be to reduce the CoP displacements and their surface. Its main drawback would be that...
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Lordactiv™) associated with changes in spinal curvature disrupt the whole postural chain [30,31]. Therefore, the impairment of one link in the chain results in the locking of the whole mobile part of the body in order to provide a single rigid segment. The muscle sensory-motor activity from various parts of the body is then required. The impairment of one link in the chain results in the disruption of the whole postural chain [30,31]. Therefore, structural or functional modifications of the lumbar region, even situated far from the ankle joint, would lead to postural changes [12,16,32]. The compression exerted by lumbar lordosis braces (Jewett brace™, Voigt-Bähler brace™ and Lordactiv™) associated with changes in spinal curvature could account for the observed postural modifications. Actually, compression provides supplementary sensory data, which partly compensates for the lumbar proprioceptive impairment during the repositioning activities [8,9]. It also reduces trunk muscle activity [21]. Patients suffering from lumbar pain are characterized by an increased muscular strain which may be responsible for trunk postural stability along the AP axis [16] because of its lower capacity to detect movement [33] and therefore complexifying further the corrective activity [20]. Actually, it was demonstrated that a reduced lumbar lordosis when carrying a rucksack is associated with a decrease in trunk proprioception [34]. Moreover, it has been proved that maintaining a long-term lumbar kyphosis posture affects the chest repositioning abilities [35] and could constitute a lumbar pain risk factor [36]. Since patients with discopathy demonstrate a reduced lumbar lordosis [37], restoration of this lumbar spine curvature using a lordosis belt could enhance its control. Early correction in patients fitted with a lordosis brace reduces disruptions induced by excessive CoG displacements, therefore limiting the regulating activity exerted by the CoP displacements. Such efficiency could constitute one of the contributing elements for decreased lumbar pain using a lumbar lordosis brace as reported in the literature [4–6].

To conclude, the obtained in situ data using a force platform help characterize the postural effects of a lumbar lordosis belt on patients suffering from discopathy. By correlating the various adjustments of a lumbar belt with spinal sagittal profiles, the reliability of posturographic measurements could in future, help clinicians and industrialists by demonstrating the benefit related to the balance between the stress exerted by the lumbar brace and the patient’s specificity.
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Appendix A. A fractional Brownian Motion model (fBm) and centre of pressure (CoP)

If ordinary Brownian motion characterizes random walk processes, the mathematical fBm concept from Mandelbrot and Van Ness (1968) constitutes its generalization. Its main interest is to give evidence of the role of deterministic and stochastic mechanisms involved in a process. In other terms, this model may help evaluate the degree of control of the CoP trajectory. As demonstrated by the following equality $<\Delta x^2> = \Delta t^H$, the analysis principle consists in being interested in the relationship between the mean CoP square displacements $<\Delta x^2>$ and the increasing time intervals $\Delta t$. The graphical representation, which helps us evaluate this type of relationship is called variogram (Fig. 3). Collins and De Luca (1993) were the first to get interested in this tool for CoP trajectory analysis. In the present case, the variograms are made of two successive straight lines (Fig. 3). These authors therefore deduced that two distinct control mechanisms were successively involved during postural maintenance: the first one, of persistent or exploratory origin, acts as an open loop (with no feedback) for the shortest $\Delta t$ whereas the second one, of anti-persistent or corrective origin, acts as a closed loop (by retroaction) during the longest $\Delta t$. The transitional point between these two phases therefore represents the spatio-temporal coordinates ($<\Delta x^2>$ and $\Delta t$) of the beginning of the postural correction. The scale or Hurst coefficients ($H$) represent the main coefficient of each scatterplot half-slope. This $H$ coefficient helps determine the type of processes involved in the control of the considered displacements. Therefore, if its median value (that is 0.5) reveals a totally random walk process, the more distant are the values from this 0.5 threshold value, the better will be the degree of control. These processes are said persistent when $H > 0.5$ (the considered point will tend to move far from its balance point) and antipersis-
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**Figure 3** Example of a variogram representing the mean centre of pressure trajectory displacements according to the increasing time interval.
tent when $H < 0.5$ (in that case, the most common tendency will be to turn back).

Therefore, when using this model, it is possible to precisely determine the mean time intervals ($\Delta t$) and the more or less hazardous degree of the postural correction ($H_{li}$).
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