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Iliac crest bone graft harvesting complications: A case of liver herniation
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Summary The iliac crest is an easily accessible donor site offering a relatively large and safe supply of bone. There are however possible complications; residual pain frequently, and more rarely herniation. This latter's true incidence is unknown in a literature review, which found 15 articles. We report a case of liver herniation in a 64-year-old overweight lady after harvesting bone from her iliac crest. The clinical diagnosis was confirmed by CT scan. Despite an appropriate surgical repair, the hernia recurred. This serious complication of bone harvesting from the iliac crest, and possible other undesirable events described, prompted reconsideration of our harvesting techniques, and the use in our unit of bone substitutes or cell therapy to fill bone defects.
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Introduction

The iliac crest (posterior or anterior) is a frequently used bone-harvesting site in orthopedic surgery; it is considered to be the best site because of the quality and quantity of bone available. This site is used to harvest cancellous or corticocancellous bone for orthopedic surgeries such as open-wedge osteotomies, treatment of non-union, and arthrodeses. The iliac crest is a good and easily accessible donor site for cancellous bone, but has the disadvantage of considerable morbidity that has been widely reported in the literature [1–6]. Persistent pain and discomfort at the donor site are the most often reported [1–4,6]. Fowler et al. [7] reported several types of possible complications: damage to the superior gluteal artery, fourth lumbar artery, iliolumbar artery, and deep circumflex iliac artery; damage to the femoral nerve and ilio-inguinal nerves; ureteral injuries; gastrointestinal hernias; ileus; haematomas; pelvic instability, and fractures. A literature review found 15 cases of hernia after harvesting bone from the iliac crest [8]. We report a case of liver herniation that occurred following harvesting of a corticocancellous bone graft from the right anterior iliac crest in a patient who had already undergone surgery for pseudarthrosis of the greater trochanter. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case published in the literature.
Observation

We report the case of a 64-year-old lady, weight 94 kg for a height of 160 cm (BMI = 36.7 kg/m²), who underwent surgery for pseudarthrosis of the greater trochanter in 2005, which occurred following total hip replacement in 2000. The patient was operated for primary osteoarthritis of the hip with total hip replacement using trochanteric osteotomy. Postoperatively, pain appeared. The assessment did not find any signs of loosening, but did find pseudarthrosis of the greater trochanter. Repeat surgery was performed in 2005 for treatment of the pseudarthrosis with a corticocancellous bone graft from the right iliac crest and osteosynthesis with a trochanteric hook. The graft had been harvested from the right anterior and middle iliac crest. The graft measured 5 cm; a tricortical graft from the anterior and middle iliac crest because of its size. Closure was performed using nonabsorbable sutures, without trans-osseous sutures. There was no abdominal wall rehabilitation. Weight bearing was resumed immediately. The immediate postoperative period was uncomplicated with in particular the absence of haematoma or infection at the donor site. Ten months after this repeat surgery, the patient presented spontaneus incisional hernia at the donor site. Time to onset of symptoms was 10 months. Depending on the authors, this can vary from 24 days [12] to 15 years after surgery [14]. This complication seems to be more frequent in women [9].

The physical examination is suspicious when there is a non-tender troublesome swelling at the scar. The diagnosis is confirmed with an abdominal CT scan that shows the hernia and its content [15,16]. This CT scan is required before surgery; diagnosis must be rapid to avoid complications of this hernia — strangulation and bowel necrosis. In the literature, when specifically investigating cases of liver herniation, only Rodríguez-Hermosa et al. [17] reported a case of liver hernia after cholecystectomy that occurred in a patient with morbid obesity (BMI = 57 kg/m²) whose laparoscopy was converted to a laparotomy. In that case, the clinical signs that resulted in the diagnosis of liver herniation in the immediate postoperative period were haemodynamic instability, paralytic ileus and abdominal pain. Repeat surgery was performed for organ reintegration and insertion of an extraperitoneal patch [17]. Unlike our observation, there was not only liver but also bowel herniation, which explained the far more severe symptoms. Isolated liver herniation does not seem to have clinical repercussions, apart from simple discomfort, in the absence of complications (necrosis, strangulation). The risk factors are obesity, increased intra-abdominal pressure, age greater

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, we report the first case of liver herniation as a complication of harvesting corticocancellous bone from the iliac crest. However, other types of hernia have already been reported. The frequency of incisional hernia, after bone harvesting from the iliac crest, is 5% according to Audela et al. [9]. This complication was reported as early as 1945 by Oldfield [10]. The most often it involves bowel loops [11], with the possibility of volvulus and strangulated hernias [12,13].
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Treatment can be difficult. The cases of gastrointestinal hernia after bone harvesting from the iliac crest in the literature were operated and there were no new complications afterwards [8]. In the case we report, we noted recurrence in the year following repair, despite suitable surgical treatment, without a clear explanation.

The complication rate following autogenous bone grafts is probably underestimated in the literature. Depalma [5] observed persistent pain continuing 3 months afterwards in 15% of patients. Laurie [6] showed that the mean duration of acute pain was 6 weeks and that 10% of patients complained of pain over 2 years after surgery, mainly during strenuous physical exercise.

Taking all complications into account, this rate varies from 12% according to Watters and Levinthal [22] to 18% according to Boni [23] and can reach 20%. Boni [23] reported an 18% complication rate due to haematomas, local pain, and infection. Watters and Levinthal [22] reported 12% complications involving superficial or deep infection, meralgia paresthetica, pelvic fractures (in osteoporosis), deep hematomas, and urinary retention.

Fernyhough [24] noted that the most frequent complication was changes in sensations at the donor site, presenting as chronic pain and reduced sensitivity. In their study, 29% of patients complained of iliac crest pain over a year after surgery.

The intensity of the pain seemed to depend on the quantity of bone harvested [25]. There did not seem to be any relationship with the harvesting site (anterior or posterior), but tricortical grafts caused more severe pain. However, for Ahlmann et al. [26], who compared graft harvesting from the anterior or posterior iliac crest in terms of morbidity and pain, there was a difference. They reported a lower overall complication rate (2%) when the graft is harvested from the posterior crest compared with the anterior crest (23%); a significant difference. They also reported more severe pain for longer periods after harvesting from the anterior crest and recommended harvesting from the posterior crest as far as possible [26].

Scott [27] and Burststein et al. [2] have shown the effect of graft harvesting technique on morbidity. The standard technique, i.e., harvesting a tricortical graft, is accompanied by significantly higher morbidity in terms of analgesic consumption, incision length, duration of surgery, and hospital stay. In their study, patients operated using minimally invasive surgery presented fewer complications, resumed walking earlier, and had shorter hospital stays. The bone was harvested using a very short incision with a trephine fixed on a guide pin.

Kurz et al. [4] listed complications related to harvesting iliac crest bone grafts. Apart from pain at the donor site, they found nerve lesions causing meralgia paresthetica could reach 10% of cases, vascular lesions, particularly in posterior grafts, cosmetic sequelae, more frequent after tricortical grafts, and haematomas in 4 to 10% of cases. The risk of infection did not seem to be high, reaching less than 1% of cases. Limping involving the gluteus medius has been reported (up to 3% of cases) with posterior graft harvesting. Abdominal wall lesions and herniation of abdominal organs at the ilium can be seen after tricortical graft harvesting.

Avulsion of the anterior superior iliac spine [28] and fractures of the ala of the ilium are rare [29,30], but can require a second procedure for osteosynthesis. They are contributed to by osteoporosis.

This morbidity has serious consequences as shown by Hill [3]: the majority of patients who reported little or no satisfaction with their operation were those who presented complications at the donor site.

Considering these complications, we prefer to harvest bicortical bone grafts taken from the inner table to spare the outer table. We thus no longer harvest tricortical grafts. Even though there have not been any randomized studies comparing the two techniques, this is the least aggressive approach as it partly spares the insertions of the transverse abdominal muscles. Though exceptional, this complication is serious and requires consideration of the use of other techniques such as bone substitutes and cell therapy for bone reconstruction [31,32]. There are several options: either using the bone substitute as a true graft without harvesting corticocancellous bone or using the substitute to fill the bone defect created by graft harvesting. We have thus started to use bone substitute like a true graft; this enables us to spare the iliac crest entirely and to overcome all these potential complications.

Finally, in an attempt to explain this complication, the absence of trans-osseous sutures in some procedures could possibly be incriminated. We do not usually use trans-osseous sutures for closure. They could possibly have avoided this liver herniation in a patient with risk factors (obesity and harvesting of a quite large graft). This had weakened the abdominal wall and caused the liver herniation. On reviewing the CT scan images, the patient does not present any preexisting abnormalities; the hernia was obviously a consequence of graft harvesting.

Conclusions

Liver herniation after harvesting of an iliac crest bone graft is a rare complication requiring surgical treatment after CT scan assessment. Unfortunately, in our case the hernia recurred. Bone graft harvesting from the iliac crest can thus be followed by complications varying in severity and thus requiring consideration. The patient must be warned before performing the procedure. Whenever possible, harvesting from the posterior iliac crest should be preferred. We should tend towards the use of other techniques such as bone substitutes and cell therapy as true grafts or as substitutes to fill the bone defect left by graft harvesting.
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