Practical management of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. A modest proposal

Prise en charge des patients en insuffisance cardiaque à fraction d’éjection préservée : une proposition pratique

Guillaume Jondeau\textsuperscript{a,b,c,\ast}, Florence Arnoult\textsuperscript{d}, Giuseppina Caligiuri\textsuperscript{a,b}, Gerald Phan\textsuperscript{a}, Jacques Charles\textsuperscript{a}, Catherine Morgant\textsuperscript{a}, Marie Claude Aumont\textsuperscript{a,c}

\textsuperscript{a} Service de Cardiologie, Hôpital Bichat, 46, rue Henri-Huchard, 75018 Paris, France
\textsuperscript{b} Inserm U698, Hôpital Bichat, 75018 Paris, France
\textsuperscript{c} Faculté Paris-7, Paris, France
\textsuperscript{d} Service d’Explorations Fonctionnelles, Hôpital Bichat, Paris, France

Received 26 March 2013; accepted 4 April 2013
Available online 25 June 2013

While the management of patients with cardiac insufficiency with systolic dysfunction is well established [1], that of cardiac insufficiency with preserved ejection fraction is not based on any evidence. This is why—even though this pathology is present in more than 50\% of patients with heart failure in clinical practice—only a minimal proportion of the latest European guidelines was devoted to this topic (one-quarter of a page within the 61 pages of recommendations and five of 270 references [1]). It also notably demonstrates the limits of the recommendations as well as the difficulty in writing the present paper, with its own limitations (it is a personal opinion and is therefore debatable).

The treatment philosophy for cardiac insufficiency with preserved systolic function is completely different from that of cardiac insufficiency with altered systolic function: with the latter, you want to block hormonal activation (RAS, sympathetic system); with the former you need to treat/address the causes of rigidity in the arteries and cardiac muscle (e.g. arterial hypertension), treat all comorbidities that could aggravate the symptoms of cardiac insufficiency, such as anaemia and renal insufficiency, and avoid the causes of acute cardiac decompensation (e.g. hypertensive crisis, infections, sodium overload, tachycardic episodes). Finally the treatment consists of maintaining the patient in euvolemia (emphasizing the importance of therapeutic education, diuretic treatment as a base if needed, avoiding dehydration, etc.), as outlined in Fig. 1.
In the absence of a curative treatment for cardiac insufficiency with preserved systolic function, it makes sense to try to prevent its occurrence. However, in order to do so it is important to understand the causes of or factors responsible for this condition. This type of cardiac insufficiency is notably observed in hypertensive patients, diabetic patients, the elderly and in patients with renal insufficiency [2–4]. We are able to treat diabetes and arterial hypertension and to slow the progression to cardiac insufficiency while it is still secondary to arterial hypertension and diabetes [5]. The correct management of these pathologies before cardiac insufficiency occurs is therefore fundamental, although it is equally important after cardiac insufficiency appears in order to limit its progression or allow for a possible recovery (e.g. regression of cardiac muscle hypertrophy after stabilising blood pressure) [6].

The causal role played by arterial hypertension is fundamental: it is a factor in increasing arterial rigidity, cardiac hypertrophy and renal insufficiency. Treating arterial hypertension, even in the elderly, allows us to limit the appearance of cardiac insufficiency. The Hypertension in the Very Elderly Trial (HYVET) study, for example, demonstrated that the treatment of systolic arterial hypertension of more than 160 mmHg in patients over 80 years of age reduces the risk of cardiac insufficiency by 60% [7].

Even after the development of cardiac insufficiency, the treatment of arterial hypertension remains of utmost importance. This treatment is often challenging to put in place and its effects are difficult to evaluate due to the variability of blood pressure levels seen in such patients: the rigidity of their arteries, the reduction in sensitivity of baroreceptors, and systolic left ventricular function beyond the normal range allow rapid variations in blood pressure depending on both the position (orthostatic hypotension is frequent) and the effort being exerted (it is prudent to measure ambulatory arterial pressure in these patients to check that blood pressure is well controlled). The possibility of stenosis of the renal arteries should be considered
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The clinician must ensure that all steps have been taken to improve renal function, including checking for the use of anti-inflammatory treatment, which is sometimes responsible for episodes of cardiac decompensation. In elderly or older individuals, these treatments are sometimes considered indispensible by the patients, and finding an alternative can be very challenging; thus it is often better to leave the decision to the rheumatologist. . . . It is sometimes necessary to accept that the patient will not always behave as instructed: certain patients will take these medications irrespective of your instructions, at least from time to time. It is better to be aware of their use to be able to anticipate cardiac decompensation early.

Sometimes the opinion of a nephrologist is useful, when renal function is seriously altered, even if it is often a consequence of hypertensive nephroangiosclerosis and/or diabetic nephropathy for which nothing can be done. This type of renal insufficiency is often accompanied by hypervolemia due to hyper-reninism [11], which necessitates diuretic treatment to avoid repeated episodes of decompensation. Thus in these patients, even in the absence of obvious signs of congestion, we would happily prescribe 40 mg/day of furosemide long-term, certainly in cases of recurrent decompensation. There is a risk of excessive diuretic treatment, which would negatively affect renal function; as this effect is purely functional it would regress upon discontinuation of the treatment. If renal function worsens, reducing the dosage of diuretics, in the absence of any signs of congestion, would be or is justified.

The patient now has normal arterial tension, with no episodes of tachycardia, with diuretic treatment in case of nephroangiosclerosis with renal insufficiency. If this suffices to treat the cardiac insufficiency and the patient does not suffer any episodes of acute heart failure whilst taking the medication, the therapeutic process would end there until the next eventual episode of acute heart failure. Everything must be done to avoid factors favouring decompensation: the role played by pulmonary infections justifies vaccination against influenza; we have already addressed the problem of anti-inflammatory treatment.

Anaemia has a specific role: it is a potential cause of decompensation, which must be carefully looked for, and which should lead to a thorough examination to find the cause when present [12, 13]. Iron supplementation, possibly intravenous, should be prescribed if needed, if no source of bleeding is found.

Finally, diuretic treatment, which is generally based on furosemide, should be adapted to the blood volume of the patient. Antialdosterones (now referred to as mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists) should be used with extreme caution in elderly patients and are contraindicated in cases of renal insufficiency [14].

Patient monitoring should allow acute episodes of renal insufficiency to be avoided, notably during extreme weather, such as heat waves where temperatures vary greatly. In effect, patients receiving diuretic treatment lose some of their capacity to adapt their blood volume, and are particularly susceptible to left ventricular preload due to arterial
and ventricular rigidity, and thus are easily susceptible to hypovolemia during a heat wave, with renal insufficiency, hyperkalemia, etc. Conversely, due to the rigidity of the left ventricle, they can also quickly become hypervolemic after the sudden absorption of an excessive quantity of salt. Therapeutic education, which is part of the treatment of all patients with cardiac insufficiency, is particularly important here (and is difficult in elderly patients), in those patients for whom management of blood volume is the main part of their symptom treatment but also their preventive treatment against decompensation. Exercise may also be useful [15].

Patients with cardiac insufficiency with preserved ejection fraction are often elderly, and are unable to benefit from individualized monitoring of B-type or NT-B-type natriuretic peptide, possibly because the best response to initial decompensation is not the same for all patients and thus cannot be standardized. Monitoring and follow-up are therefore both clinical and biological with repetition of blood electrolyte tests and blood creatinine and urea levels when there is a risk of decompensation.

In conclusion, the therapeutic approach to a patient who presents with cardiac insufficiency with preserved ejection fraction is much more individualized than the standard treatment for patients with cardiac insufficiency and altered ejection fraction. This population is more heterogeneous, the causes for heart failure are different, etc. This is the domain of non-evidence-based medicine, which will always exist!
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