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Hepatocellular carcinoma in 2014: Current situation and future prospects
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Abstract The leading causes of chronic liver disease associated with HCC are hepatitis B and C viruses throughout the world, and alcohol and NASH in France. After increasing for 20–30 years in France, the rise in the incidence of HCC appears to be slowing and the death rates appear to be falling. Screening for HCC by liver ultrasound is performed every 6 months. Assay of serum alpha-fetoprotein has no benefit. In developed countries, failure to identify patients with cirrhosis and inadequate adherence to guidelines greatly reduces the effectiveness of screening for HCC.

© 2014 Published by Elsevier Masson SAS on behalf of the Éditions françaises de radiologie.

Epidemiology and risk factors

Epidemiology

HCC almost always develops in patients suffering from chronic liver disease, usually at the cirrhotic stage. Its epidemiology is therefore closely related to that of the causes of cirrhosis [1]: chronic viral hepatitis B (HBV) and C (HCV) infections, excessive alcohol consumption and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH).

Viral causes predominate throughout the world and approximately 80% of cases of HCC are found in areas where HBV is highly endemic (Asia and Africa), often associated with ingestion of aflatoxin B1, a potently carcinogenic mycotoxin. The incidence of HCC should be reduced by improving storage conditions for cereals and large-scale vaccination of newborns against HBV. This vaccination was set up in Taiwan almost 30 years ago and has produced a spectacular fall in the number of cases of HCC
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in children and young adults [2]. The epidemiology of HCC is very different in France, as the main cause of cirrhosis and HCC (two-thirds of cases) is excessive alcohol consumption [1]. NASH is increasingly responsible because of the increased prevalence of obesity and diabetes. The number of cases of NASH-related HCC currently appears to be similar to the number associated with HCV [3].

Although the incidence of HCC appears to be falling in Africa and Asia, the same does not apply in the Western world, where both its incidence and mortality rates have increased greatly over recent years. This rise appears to be due to patients infected with HCV in the 1970–1980s reaching the cirrhotic stage and to the reduction in mortality from the other complications of cirrhosis (gastrointestinal bleeds and bacterial infection) leaving patients exposed for longer to the risk of developing HCC [1].

Risk factors

Cirrhosis, regardless of its cause, is the main risk factor for HCC with an individual risk of 2 to 6% annually, which is influenced by many other factors [4]. Risk is greater in patients with HCV cirrhosis than in those with alcoholic or HBV cirrhosis. The risk from similar viral causes is higher in Asia than in the Western world and is also influenced by sex (male), age (>55-years-old), severity of the liver disease, serum alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) concentration, and comorbidities (alcohol, obesity, diabetes and HIV). Simple clinical and laboratory scores can be used to separate patients suffering from cirrhosis into several groups at very different risks of HCC [1].

If cirrhosis is not present, the risk of developing HCC is extremely low in alcoholic and HCV disease and higher for HBV and NASH (40% of cases) [5].

Screening

Only a small HCCs (Milan criteria: a single nodule under 5 cm in diameter or 2 to 3 nodules under 3 cm in diameter) are amenable to curative treatment. As the tumors are asymptomatic, they need to be screened for by periodic monitoring in at risk patients [6].

Academic studies

These have clarified the screening methods [6]. The major target is patients suffering from cirrhosis regardless of cause, alcohol, HCV, HBV and NASH, and those with inherited hemochromatosis, autoimmune hepatitis and primary biliary cirrhosis. As the aim is to offer curative therapy, patients with contraindications to this are not therefore affected. Whilst there are many contraindications to surgery (transplantation and resection), percutaneous ablation therapies can be used in a large number of patients. Screening is not indicated if the cirrhosis is decompensated as the major prognostic indicator in this situation is the severity of the cirrhosis, unless the patient is awaiting transplantation, in which development of an HCC changes the transplant allocation rules.

Screening for HCC is still based on a standard liver ultrasound performed every 6 months [6]. There is no evidence to shorten this period, which is based on the aggressive nature of the tumor and not on actual risk [7]. Measurement of AFP is of little benefit as the majority of small HCC are not associated with a rise in serum AFP concentrations. If a focal lesion is found on screening, diagnostic investigations need to be performed with enhanced CT and/or MRI and possibly a guided biopsy. Unlike other solid tumors, HCC can be diagnosed without histological evidence (probabilistic diagnosis), if imaging shows typical appearance (hypervascular nodule in the arterial phase with washout in the portal and/or late phase) [6]. This typical appearance is only rarely found if the nodule is small (under 10 mm in diameter). This is an important limitation as small nodules currently account for up to 40% of focal lesions found on screening [7]. If the nature of the nodule has not been established, it is essential to continue monitoring to detect any increase in size. Ultrasound cannot be performed in 10% of patients (because of obesity), in which case MRI is recommended.

Periodic screening detects up to 75% of tumors at a stage, which is amenable to curative treatment [7]. One randomized trial (of poor methodological quality) has shown improved survival in patients who were screened [8]. Interestingly, survival in patients undergoing the same screening methods increased over time, probably due to more effective curative therapy [9].

Studies in the general population

As in other countries, screening for HCC is not used sufficiently in France. One recent French study (the Changh observational study) showed that only 25% of patients received curative treatment and that only 20% of patients were screened before the diagnosis of their cancer [3], in contrast to the findings from the academic studies.

The effectiveness of screening relies on several successive stages: identification of patients suffering from cirrhosis, screening of these patients for HCC using recommended methods and the appropriate use of curative treatments. Identifying patients suffering from compensated cirrhosis, who are usually asymptomatic, can be facilitated by ‘non invasive’ methods such as blood tests (Fibrotest®, Fibromètre® and the Fibroscan®). Even when cirrhosis is found, periodic screening appears to be used inappropriately: almost 40% of patients suffering from HCC have not had appropriate screening despite the fact that their cirrhosis was known [10], probably because of doctors not being aware of guidelines or being sceptical about the effectiveness of screening. The Changh study results also suggest that percutaneous ablation is still underused, as the proportion of patients treated with this method (which has few contraindications) was similar to the proportion of patients undergoing resection or transplantation (which have many contraindications) [3].

Treatment

The indications for treatment depend on the size and extension of the tumor, the condition of the non-tumor liver
parenchyma and the patient’s general health [6]. In view of the diagnostic difficulties (particularly if the nodule is small) and complexity of the indications for treatment, it would appear essential for the patient’s case to be discussed in a specialist multidisciplinary team meeting (MDT). These MDT should offer all of the available treatment options and therefore, in addition to medical oncologist and pathologist, include hepatologist, interventional radiologist experienced in percutaneous ablation techniques and transplant surgeon.

**Curative treatment**

Patients eligible for transplantation are those who meet the Milan criteria with compatible age (≤ 65–70-years-old) and general health. Because of the long waiting time, arterial chemoembolization, percutaneous ablation or even resection have been proposed to control tumor growth before transplantation [6]. Some patients suffering from HCC who do not meet the Milan criteria have been transplanted with satisfactory results, which has led to a proposal that the eligibility criteria should be extended. Prior treatment with arterial chemoembolization or radiofrequency ablation (‘downstaging’) may allow patients who were ineligible to be transplanted secondarily [6].

Because of the high prevalence of contraindications to transplantation, local therapy is often the only option for the tumor. There are few candidates for resection (normal serum bilirubin, no portal hypertension) [6] and conventional (monopolar) percutaneous radiofrequency ablation is used for the limited number of patients suffering from small tumors (≤ 3 cm in diameter, ≤ 3 nodules) [11]. The choice between resection and radiofrequency ablation should be discussed on an individual basis, as patient survival appears to be the same for both methods. Radiofrequency ablation has now overtaken resection as the reference treatment for unique nodules under 2 cm in diameter [12]. The major limitation of monopolar radiofrequency ablation is tumor size, as nodules over 3 cm in diameter are rarely entirely destroyed. Because of this, other ablation methods are currently being assessed [11] and multifocal radiofrequency ablation may allow tumors over 3 cm in diameter to be treated curatively [13].

The major disadvantage of local treatments is that they leave the cirrhotic liver in situ, carrying a risk of tumor recurrence (80% in 5 years) and the other complications of cirrhosis [6], which justify continuing the monitoring process.

**Palliative treatment**

Arterial chemoembolization can be used if portal obstruction and hepatic dysfunction are not present. The utility of new chemoembolization methods (particularly DC Beads) still needs to be assessed [11,14]. Oral administration of sorafenib is the reference treatment for patients with good hepatic function in whom chemoembolization is contraindicated [6]. The utility of Yttrium-90 Microsphere radioembolization still needs to be assessed [14].

**Prevention**

The aim of prevention is to avoid or delay the development of HCC in chronic liver disease.

**Virological monitoring of chronic HCV or HBV infection**

Virological recovery after antiviral therapy in patients with HCV cirrhosis appears to be associated with a large reduction in the risk of HCC (by a factor of 4), even in patients suffering from cirrhosis [15]. The risk of HCC however seems to be not completely abolished (cases have been reported over 10 years after virological recovery), justifying continued monitoring. In HBV cirrhosis, there also appears to be a large reduction in the risk of developing HCC after antiviral therapy [16].

**Preventative treatments**

Ultimately, our understanding of the mechanisms of hepatic carcinogenesis should lead to the use of preventative therapies. Sorafenib is currently being assessed as secondary prevention and metformin, a very widely used oral antidiabetic agent, has been shown to be extremely effective in preventing cancers from other sites. Its effect on the development of HCC is going to be tested in a randomized trial [17]. Statins may also have preventative activity [18].

**Conclusions and future perspectives**

Although considerable advances have been made, the overall survival of patients suffering from HCC has not yet been improved greatly, although this situation should change. The HBV vaccination program and work to combat contamination of food by aflatoxin in Asia and Africa should greatly reduce the incidence of HCC. Wider use of HCC screening in patients with cirrhosis is an important public health challenge in industrialized countries and requires improvement in the detection of cirrhosis in the general population and strictly following the HCC screening guidelines [19].

**Take-home messages**

- HCC almost always develops in a patient suffering from chronic liver disease, usually at the cirrhotic stage.
- Only small HCC are amenable to curative therapy, which justifies including cirrhotic patients in a periodic screening program.
- This periodic screening is based on six monthly liver ultrasound followed by a diagnostic procedure if a focal lesion is found.
- The effectiveness of screening depends on identifying the target population (cirrhosis), using appropriate screening methods (six monthly ultrasound) and the judicious use of the various curative therapies (specialist MDT).
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