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Following the identification of an error in the interpretation of the statistical data, we would like to correct errors in the article. As shown clearly by the P values, loss of differentiation (or pseudoneuroma) was not significantly associated with the clinical or electroneuromyographic (ENMG) stages.

In the abstract, the following two sentences: “Pseudoneuroma or dedifferentiation on HRU correlated with clinical stage (P = 0.2579 and 0.2615, respectively). Dedifferentiation was associated with severe abnormality on ENMG (P < 0.5)” should be replaced by “Ultrasonographic dedifferentiation was not significantly associated with the clinical or ENMG stage”.

In results, section 3.2, last paragraph: in the sentence “The incidence of pseudoneuroma and dedifferentiation on HRU did not differ from preoperative McGowan stage,” substitute “correlate with” for “differ from”.

In the discussion, change the last sentence of the first paragraph as follows: instead of “Moreover, dedifferentiation, which is a sign of neural suffering, was observed in case of severe abnormality on ENMG – a finding not previously reported,” read “The absence of associations with the clinical and ENMG findings does not challenge the usefulness of dedifferentiation, which is a good sign of nerve injury that can, in particular, allow determination of the site of ulnar nerve lesions in patients with isolated axonal injuries.”.

We apologise for these mistakes.