Original article

Reducible valgus flat-foot: Assessment of posterior subtalar joint surface displacement by posterior arthroscopy during sinus tarsi expansion screwing
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Subtalar arthroereisis corrects childhood and adult reducible valgus flat-foot in certain indications. Inserting an expansion screw in the sinus tarsi simultaneously corrects the calcaneal valgus of the talocalcaneal divergence and first-ray pronation if these are reducible. The displacement induced in the posterior subtalar joint (decoaptation, translation, rotation) is, however, poorly known. The present study involved arthroscopic assessment of posterior subtalar joint surface displacement during insertion of a talocalcaneal arthroereisis screw, with the hypothesis that displacement varies in three dimensions according to screw size.

Material and method: Eight specimens were used for the study. All ankles were supple, taken from adult subjects. A 4.5-mm arthroscope was used and measurements were taken with a graduated palpator in the posterior subtalar joint. Three sinus tarsi expansion screws of incremental diameter were assessed. Before and after insertion measurements were made of posterolateral and posteromedial talar exposure on the calcaneus, anteroposterior and lateromedial translation, and talocalcaneal joint-line opening.

Results: Medial rotation, varization and anterior translation of the calcaneus were comparable in all cases. Mean lateral opening of the posterior subtalar joint was 0.88 mm with 8-mm screws and 1.25 mm with 16-mm screws. Significant differences between 8 and 16 mm screws were found for lateral subtalar joint opening (P = 0.028) and for lateromedial translation (P = 0.004).

Conclusion: Sinus tarsi expansion screwing corrects hindfoot valgus and talocalcaneal divergence by inducing medial translation of the calcaneus under the talus and talar medial rotation and varization, proportional to screw size (medial translation and lateral opening of the subtalar joint).

Level of evidence: III.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Reducible flat-foot in children or in adults may require surgery. Various correction procedures have been described, including subtalar arthroereisis [1–9]. The objective (Fig. 1) is to reduce calcaneal valgus and talocalcaneal divergence [10–12]. The actual 3D displacements induced in the posterior subtalar joint, however, are poorly known:

- is there valgus correction by lateral opening of the talocalcaneal joint?
- what mechanism underlies talocalcaneal divergence correction?

To the best of our knowledge, only Husain et al. [13] and Arangio et al. [14] have studied these relationships. The present study sought to make an arthroscopic assessment of posterior subtalar joint relations following insertion of a sinus tarsi expansion screw by studying the displacement of predefined landmarks. The study hypothesis was that displacements varied in 3 dimensions according to screw size.

2. Material and method

Eight specimens from adult subjects were studied: 4 right and 4 left lower limbs, amputated at the knee. All ankles were supple: reducible calcaneal valgus, absence of equinus, dorsiflexion > 15°, planter flexion > 30°. The limb was held in a vise in ventral decubitus. A 4-mm arthroscope and 4-mm shaver were
used. Measurements were taken using a palpation hook with 0.5-mm graduations, with the foot in neutral flexion-extension.

The posterior subtalar joint was approached by posterior arthroscopy following van Dijk et al. [15], with a posterolateral Achilles and posteromedial instrumental approach (Fig. 2). After subtalar joint exposure and debridement by shaver, posterior talar and calcaneal landmarking was performed by lancet (Fig. 3).

On each talar and calcaneal bone segment, 3 points were landmarked by the lancet tip (Fig. 4):

• most lateral posterior calcaneal (C lat) and talar (T lat) landmark;
• central posterior calcaneal (C cen) and talar (T cen) landmark;
• medial posterior calcaneal (C med) and talar (T med) landmark.

The tibiotalar joint space between the 3 landmarks was measured before screw insertion (Fig. 5).

Three expansion screws of length 16 mm and incremental diameter (8, 14 and 16 mm) were successively used to obtain maximal differential range of motion. A 20-mm skin incision was performed over the sinus tarsi and the screw was inserted into the sinus.

Before and after each screw insertion, the graduated hook (Fig. 6) was used to measure (in mm):

• maximal posterolateral and posteromedial joint incongruence of the talus over the calcaneus: positive if the calcaneal joint surface extends beyond the talar joint surface and negative if the calcaneal joint surface no longer covers the talus;
• calcaneal anteroposterior translation with respect to the talus, measuring the anterior translation of the medial, central and lateral calcaneal landmarks with respect to the corresponding talar landmarks; positive if the calcaneal landmark was displaced anteriorly with respect to the talar landmark;
• joint decoaptation or impingement between the medial, central and lateral calcaneal and talar landmarks;
• lateromedial translation of the central calcaneal landmark with respect to the central talar landmark, taken as fixed: positive for medial translation.

Data were analyzed on non-parametric Friedman test, with α risk = 0.05, on NCSS v.2007 and StatXact software.

3. Results

Results are presented in Table 1. All ankles showed comparable calcaneal displacement in internal rotation around a posteromedial fixed point, varization and anterior translation.

Before screw insertion, mean spontaneous joint space was 1 mm. Mean lateral posterior subtalar joint opening (Fig. 7) was 0.88 mm (range, 0.5–1.5) with 8-mm screws and 1.25 mm (0–2) with 16-mm screws. Conversely, the medial part of the joint showed impingement, with a mean joint space of 0.38 mm (0–1) with 8-mm screws and 0.19 mm (0–0.5) with 16-mm screws; in 5 ankles, medial joint space was abolished by 16-mm screws, causing direct contact between the two surfaces.

Calcaneal medial rotation under the talus was systematic (8/8 ankles), inducing positive lateral incongruence and anterior translation (Fig. 8), predominating in the lateral calcaneus. These two elements constitute the reduction of talocalcaneal divergence.

Eight and 16-mm screws showed significant differences for:
• lateral opening of the posterior subtalar joint ($P = 0.028$), 16-mm screws inducing greater opening;
• medial translation of the calcaneus ($P = 0.004$), 16-mm screws inducing greater translation.

4. Discussion

Sinus tarsi expansion screwing in reducible valgus flat-foot appears to be a logical attitude in view of the displacements obtained in the subtalar joint, which combat the acquired deformity.

Idiopathic valgus flat-foot results from collapse of the longitudinal medial arch. According to Meary and Judet [16,17], primary talar valgus (> 10°) causes the talus to slide downward, forward and inward, increasing talocalcaneal divergence and shifting stress onto the medial column, impairing capsule and tendon stability mechanisms. The resulting abnormalities associate the talar valgus with increased talocalcaneal divergence and talar anterolisthesis over the calcaneus, relative lengthening of the medial column, tibiotalar equinus, shortening of the lateral column, compensatory forefoot supination-abduction, talar bone dysmorphism and sometimes lower limb torsion disorder [16–20].

Numerous surgical solutions [1] have been described. In 1952, Grice [21] reported extra-articular subtalar fusion with a corticocancellous graft, initially in children with poliomyelitis, later extended to childhood valgus flat-foot [22,23], with satisfactory results [24], although the procedure was relatively invasive. Later, subtalar arthroereisis techniques were developed. In 1958, Enklaar [25] reported the first subtalar implant, comprising a conical fragment of ivory. In 1961, Lelièvre [26] reported an autologous
cylindrical cortical graft in the sinus tarsi to correct talar valgus. Later still came prosthetic implants: Silastic® (then acrylic [Valenti, 1976 [27]], steel [Grassin, 1978], and expanding acrylic and metal endo-orthoses (Giannini, 1985 [28]). The implant used in the present study was a widely used titanium model (ProStop®, Arthrex).

Indications for subtalar arthroereisis were initially restricted to childhood deformity but in recent years have been extended to adults on condition that the deformity is perfectly reducible, the foot is supple and there is no subtalar or mid-tarsal osteoarthrosis. Subtalar arthroereisis may be associated to complementary procedures, such as calcaneal tendon lengthening, medial translation osteotomy of the calcaneus or repair and reinforcement of the posterior tibial tendon, depending on etiology and clinical presentation [25]. The principle consists of obtaining direct action on the pathological movement induced by the evolutive deformity by reducing talocalcaneal divergence so as to reconstitute the medial arch. Medium-term clinical results in adults appear to be good, with correction of the valgus flat-foot and resumption of normal footwear [29,30]. Järde et al. [30] reported 24 good or very good results at a mean 4 years’ follow-up.

The present anatomic study confirmed the movements induced by sinus tarsi expansion screwing. The calcaneus systematically underwent displacement associating medial translation, medial rotation and varization with respect to the talus. The greater the screw diameter, the greater the lateral opening of the posterior subtalar joint and the medial translation of the calcaneus (P<0.05). Mean lateral opening, however, never exceeded 1.3 mm (Table 1), suggesting that it is not lateral filling by the screw that corrects the calcaneal valgus, in which case lateral opening of the subtalar joint would be greater than 5 mm. Correction of calcaneal valgus is thus essentially due to the correction of talocalcaneal divergence.

Increasing screw diameter seems to induce impingement with respect to the medial part of the talocalcaneal joint-line. The impact of this on possible osteoarthritic degeneration is unknown, reported follow-up being insufficient. A complementary study could be performed using pressure sensors to assess it more precisely. Martinelli et al. [31] performed a similar study on the tibiotalar joint and found improved pressure distribution after subtalar arthroereisis in reducible flat-foot, but without recovering normal pressure thresholds. To the best of our knowledge, no such study has been made of the subtalar joint. It would be interesting to assess the trade-off between loss of reduction correction on abating the distal screw and possible stress reduction in the internal part of the subtalar joint.

The risk of osteoarthritis associated with talocalcaneal arthroereisis screwing is as yet unclear: mean follow-up in most series was 4 years [7,9,30].

Beyond a certain diameter, increasing screw size seems no longer to be correlated with the quality of deformity correction. Saxena et al. [32] sought to assess retrospectively the real sizes of the sinus tarsi and of implanted screws: mean sinus tarsi diameter was 8 ± 7 mm and mean screw diameter 10.1 mm, much less than that of screws that are recommended and generally used.

5. Conclusion

The present anatomic study determined the complex pattern of movement induced by sinus tarsi expansion screwing in the treatment of reducible valgus flat-foot: varization, medial translation and medial rotation of the calcaneus under the talus. The technique thus corrects hindfoot valgus and talocalcaneal divergence.

This movement should be taken into account during treatment and in choosing the screw size. Complementary studies could assess screw impact on medial subtalar compartment stress or measure the equinus induced in the hindfoot by the sinus tarsi expansion screw, which was not possible in the present study of specimens amputated below the knee.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Screw size</th>
<th>Joint incongruence</th>
<th>Sagittal translation</th>
<th>Joint cartilage gap</th>
<th>Lateral-medial translation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>External</td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>External</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foot 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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